arXiv:1702.03095v1 [math.SP] 10 Feb 2017

SPECTRA OF JACOBI OPERATORS VIA CONNECTION COEFFICIENT MATRICES

MARCUS WEBB AND SHEEHAN OLVER

Abstract. We address the computational spectral theory of Jacobi operators that are trace-class perturbations of the free Jacobi operator via the asymptotic properties of a connection coefficient matrix. In particular, we show that the computation of the spectrum can be reduced to a polynomial root finding problem, from a polynomial that is derived from the entries of a connection coefficient matrix. An explicit formula for the spectral measure of the operator is also derived from these entries. We address issues of computability in the framework of the Solvability Complexity Index, proving that the spectrum of compact perturbations of the free Jacobi operator is computable in finite time with guaranteed error control in the Hausdorff metric on sets.

1. Introduction

A (bounded) Jacobi operator is a selfadjoint operator on $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\{0,1,2,\ldots\})$, which with respect to the standard orthonormal basis $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$ has a tridiagonal matrix representation

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 & & \\ \beta_0 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \\ & \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

where α_k and β_k are real numbers with $\beta_k > 0$. The spectral theorem for Jacobi operators guarantees the existence of a probability measure μ supported on the spectrum $\sigma(J) \subset \mathbb{R}$, called the *spectral measure*, and a unitary operator $U : \ell^2 \to L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$UJU^*[f](s) = sf(s), \tag{1.2}$$

for all $f \in L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ [14]. The coefficients α_k and β_k are the recurrence coefficients of the *orthonormal polynomials* $P_k(s)$ with respect to μ.

In this paper we show that the computation and theoretical study of the spectra and spectral measure of a Jacobi operator J which is a structured perturbation of another Jacobi operator D whose spectral theory is known, can be conducted using the *connection coefficient matrix* between J and D.

Definition 1.1. Denote the space of complex-valued sequences with finitely many nonzero elements by $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$, and its algebraic dual, the space of all complex-valued sequences, by $\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Suppose that D is a second, bounded Jacobi operator, and let $Q_k(s)$ denote its orthonormal polynomials. The connection coefficient matrix between J and D is defined as follows.

Definition 1.2. Define the *connection coefficient matrix* $C = C_{J\to D} = (c_{ij})_{i,j=0}^\infty$ to be the upper triangular matrix representing the change of basis between $(P_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ and $(Q_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ in the following manner:

$$P_k(s) = c_{0k}Q_0(s) + c_{1k}Q_1(s) + \cdots + c_{kk}Q_k(s). \tag{1.3}$$

Date: February 13, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B36, Secondary 47A10, 47A75, 65J10.

Note that $C : \ell_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ as it is upper triangular and $C^T : \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$ as it is lower triangular, and thus we may write

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_0(s) \\ P_1(s) \\ P_2(s) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = C^T \begin{pmatrix} Q_0(s) \\ Q_1(s) \\ Q_2(s) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.4}$$

Connection coefficient matrices have been well-studied [3, 24]. Nevertheless, it does not appear to have been noted that the connection coefficients are relevant and useful in the spectral theory of Jacobi operators. Because C is upper triangular with non-zero diagonal it is in fact an invertible operator from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ (the inverse of $C_{J\to D}$ is $C_{D\to J}$). We show that as operators on $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$, the connection coefficients matrix satisfies

$$J = C^{-1}DC. \tag{1.5}$$

Consequently, when C is a bounded and invertible operator on ℓ^2, we have $\sigma(J) = \sigma(D)$. More significantly, we further show that when C is neither bounded nor invertible, the matrix entries are still informative about the spectra of J and D. For example, if we let ν denote the spectral measure for D, the connection coefficients matrix $C = C_{J\to D}$ determines the existence and certain properties of the Radon–Nikodym derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$. We prove the following:

- Proposition 3.5: $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if the first row of C is an ℓ^2 sequence, in which case

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k} P_k. \tag{1.6}$$

  More generally, if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k}P_k$ defines an $L^1_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ function (with the series converging at least in the probabilists' weak sense) then that function is precisely $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$.
- Proposition 3.8: $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if C is a bounded operator on ℓ^2, in which case

$$\|C\|_2^2 = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\in\sigma(J)} \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s)\right|. \tag{1.7}$$

- Corollary 3.9: both $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \in L^\infty_\nu(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if C is bounded and invertible on ℓ^2.

In this paper we pay particular attention to the case where D is the so-called *free Jacobi operator*,

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.8}$$

and J is a Jacobi operator of the form $J = \Delta + K$, where K is compact. This follows many other studies of this class of operators such as those in [12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 22, 28, 30, 38, 39, 40, 41]. One major application of this class of operators is their link to Schrödinger operators in quantum theory. A Jacobi operator of this form is a discrete Schrödinger equation on the natural numbers with a potential which decays to zero at positive infinity [34]. Another reason to study this class of operators is that the Jacobi operators for the classical Jacobi polynomials, useful across numerical analysis, are of this form [1].

The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators such as Δ is well-understood [9]. The spectral measure of Δ is the semi-circle $\mu_\Delta(s) = \frac{2}{\pi}(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (restricted to $[-1,1]$), and its orthonormal polynomials are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, $U_k(s)$. We prove the following more specific theorems about the spectra of this class of Jacobi operators J, and by an appropriate scaling and shifting by the identity, that of all Jacobi operators which are Toeplitz-plus-compact.

If J is a *finite rank perturbation* of Δ, i.e. there exists n such that

$$\alpha_k = 0, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq n, \tag{1.9}$$

- Theorem 4.8: The connection coefficient matrix $C_{J\to\Delta}$ can be decomposed into $C_{\text{Toe}} + C_{\text{fin}}$ where C_{Toe} is Toeplitz, upper triangular and has bandwidth $2n-1$, and the entries of C_{fin} are zero outside the $n-1 \times 2n-1$ principal submatrix.
- Theorem 4.21: Let c be the Toeplitz symbol of C_{Toe}. It is a degree $2n-1$ polynomial with $r \leq n$ roots inside the complex unit disc, all of which are simple. The spectrum of J is

$$\sigma(J) = [-1,1] \cup \{\lambda_k : c(z_k) = 0, |z_k| < 1\}, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\lambda_k := \frac{1}{2}(z_k + z_k^{-1})$ and the spectral measure is given by the formula

$$\mu(s) = \frac{1}{p_C(s)}\mu_\Delta(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(z_k - z_k^{-1})^2}{z_k c'(z_k) c(z_k^{-1})}\delta_{\lambda_k}(s), \tag{1.11}$$

where $p_C(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_{0,k}P_k(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1}\langle e_k, CC^T e_0\rangle U_k(s)$.

To extend the results to other Jacobi operators we must make a brief definition. For $R > 0$, define the geometrically weighted Banach space

$$\ell^1_R = \left\{ v \in \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}} : \|v\|_{\ell^1_R} < \infty \right\}, \text{ where } \|v\|_{\ell^1_R} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |v_k| R^k. \tag{1.12}$$

If J is a *trace class perturbation* of Δ, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_k| + \left|\beta_k - \frac{1}{2}\right| < \infty, \tag{1.13}$$

- Theorem 5.11: $C = C_{J\to\Delta}$ is bounded as an operator from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ into itself, for all $R \in (0,1)$. Further, we have the decomposition $C = C_{\text{Toe}} + C_K$ where C_{Toe} is upper triangular Toeplitz and C_K is compact as an operator from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ into itself. The transpose operators C^T and C_K^T are bounded and compact (respectively) as operators from ℓ^1_R into itself.
- Theorem 5.14 and Theorem 5.16 : The Toeplitz symbol of C_{Toe}, c, is analytic in the complex unit disc. The discrete eigenvalues, as in the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank case are of the form $\frac{1}{2}(z_k + z_k^{-1})$ where z_k are the roots of c in the open unit disc.

For computation of the spectrum and spectral measure of a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator J, we suggest producing a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank approximation $J^{[m]}$ whose spectrum and spectral measure are computable. The question of choosing $J^{[m]}$ so as to guarantee this is a good approximation leads us to computability results. Following the pioneering work of Ben-Artzi–Hansen–Nevanlinna–Seidel on the Solvability complexity index [6, 7, 25], we prove the following theorems about computability. We assume real number arithmetic, and the results do not necessarily apply to algorithms using floating point arithmetic.

- Theorem 6.7 : If J is a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operator, then in a finite number of operations, the absolutely continuous part of the spectral measure is computable exactly, and the locations and weights of the discrete part of the spectral measure are computable to any desired accuracy. If the rank of the perturbation is known *a priori* then the algorithm can be designed to terminate with guaranteed error control.
- Theorem 6.9 : If $J = \Delta + K$ is a Toeplitz-plus-compact Jacobi operator, then in a finite number of operations, the spectrum of J is computable to any desired accuracy in the Hausdorff metric on subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. If the quantity $\sup_{k\geq m}|\alpha_k| + \sup_{k\geq m}|\beta_k - \frac{1}{2}|$ can be estimated for all m, then the algorithm can be designed to terminate with guaranteed error control.

The Toeplitz symbol $c(z)$ defined in this paper actually appears in the literature under other guises. Killip and Simon describe three different forms [28]: the Jost function u_0, the perturbation determinant L and the Szegő function D (see also [22, 12, 13]). The related function $p_C(s)$



FIGURE 1. These are the spectral measures of three different Jacobi operators; each differs from Δ in only one entry. The left plot is of the spectral measure of the Jacobi operator which is Δ except the $(0,0)$ entry is 1, the middle plot is that except the $(1,1)$ entry is 1, and the right plot is that except the $(4,4)$ entry is 1. This can be interpreted as a discrete Schrödinger operator with a single Dirac potential at different points along the real line. The continuous parts of the measures are given exactly by the computable formula in equation (1.11), and each has a single Dirac delta corresponding to discrete spectrum (the weight of the delta gets progressively smaller in each plot), the location of which can be computed with guaranteed error using interval arithmetic (see Appendix A)

in equation (1.11) can also be found in disguise as the φ function in [41, 38, 30, 40], and as the S_n function in [16]. The novel aspect we present here is that results can be reinterpreted at the operator level, via (formal) similarity transformations of J by C, a property that may facilitate extension beyond the Jacobi operators considered in this paper, as discussed further in the conclusion.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we cover established results about spectral theory of Jacobi operators. In Section 3 we discuss the basic properties of the connection coefficients matrix $C_{J\to D}$ for general Jacobi operators J and D, and how they relate to spectra. In Section 4 we show how connection coefficient matrices apply to Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operators, and in Section 5 we extend these results to the Toeplitz-plus-trace-class case. Section 6 is devoted to issues of computability.

Appendix A gives an extensive array of numerical examples produced using an open source Julia package implementing the ideas of this paper called *SpectralMeasures*. It makes use of the extensive open source Julia package called *ApproxFun* [31, 32], in particular the features for defining and manipulating functions and infinite dimensional operators.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the following people who have given insight, suggestions and discussions on this work as it developed: Anders Hansen, Arieh Iserles, Andrew Swan, Peter Clarkson, Alfredo Deaño, Alex Townsend, Walter Van Assche, Giles Shaw and David Sanders, amongst others. The first author was supported by the London Mathematical Society Cecil King Travel Scholarship to visit the University of Sydney in 2016, the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) grant EP/H023348/1 for the University of Cambridge Centre for Doctoral Training, the Cambridge Centre for Analysis, and by the FWO research project G.A004.14 at KU Leuven, Belgium.

2. SPECTRAL THEORY OF JACOBI OPERATORS

In this section we present well known results about the spectra of Jacobi operators. This gives a self contained account of what is required to prove the results later in the paper, and sets the notation.

2.1. Resolvents, measures and polynomials.

To understand the spectral theory of Jacobi operators, and in particular to understand the spectral measure, we must relay the basic results

on the interplay between the resolvent of the operator J, the measure whose Stieltjes transform is the resolvent, and certain sequences of polynomials that may be assigned to J.

Definition 2.1. Define the principal resolvent function for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J)$,

$$G(\lambda) = \langle e_0, (J - \lambda)^{-1} e_0 \rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

Theorem 2.2 ([14, 36, 34])**.** *Let J be a bounded Jacobi operator.*

(i) There exists a unique compactly supported probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, called the spectral measure of J, such that

$$G(\lambda) = \int (s - \lambda)^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(s). \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) For any $s_1 < s_2$ in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu(\{s_1\}) + \mu((s_1, s_2)) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\{s_2\}) = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \operatorname{Im} G(s + i\epsilon) \, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.3}$$

(iii) The spectrum of J is

$$\sigma(J) = \operatorname{supp}(\mu) = \overline{\{s \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} G(s + i\epsilon) > 0\}}. \tag{2.4}$$

The point spectrum $\sigma_p(J)$ of J is the set of points $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the limit

$$\mu(\{s\}) = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{i} G(s + i\epsilon) \tag{2.5}$$

exists and is positive.

The continuous spectrum of J is the set of points $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(\{s\}) = 0$ but

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} G(s + i\epsilon) > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.3. Point (i) says that G is the *Stieltjes transform* of μ and point (ii) gives the Perron-Stieltjes inversion formula [35].

Let us demonstrate a concrete consequence of Theorem 2.2. If the principal resolvent is of the form

$$G(\lambda) = G_{\text{branch}}(\lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{w_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda}, \tag{2.7}$$

where G_{branch} is analytic everywhere except a set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, upon which it has no poles[1]. Then the spectral measure is

$$\mathrm{d}\mu(s) = g(s)\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{k=0}^{r} w_k \delta_{\lambda_k}(s), \tag{2.8}$$

where g is the integrable function

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} G_{\text{branch}}(s + i\epsilon), \tag{2.9}$$

which is zero for $s \notin B$.

The measure μ is the spectral measure that appears in the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space [19], as demonstrated by the following theorem [14, 36, 34].

Definition 2.4. The orthonormal polynomials for J are $P_0, P_1, P_2, \ldots$ defined by the three term recurrence

$$sP_k(s) = \beta_{k-1}P_{k-1}(s) + \alpha_k P_k(s) + \beta_k P_{k+1}(s), \tag{2.10}$$

$$P_{-1}(s) = 0, \quad P_0(s) = 1. \tag{2.11}$$

[1]By no poles, we mean that for all $\lambda_0 \in B$, $\limsup_{\lambda \to \lambda_0, \lambda \notin B} |(\lambda - \lambda_0)G(\lambda)| = 0$. This allows logarithmic singularities and algebraic singularities with order less than 1.

Theorem 2.5 ([14])**.** *Let J be a bounded Jacobi operator and let $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots$ be as defined in Definition 2.4. Then we have the following.*

(i) The polynomials are such that $P_k(J)e_0 = e_k$.

(ii) The polynomials are orthonormal with respect to the spectral measure of J,

$$\int P_j(s)P_k(s)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) = \delta_{jk}. \tag{2.12}$$

(iii) Define the unitary operator $U : \ell^2 \to L^2(\mu)$ such that $Ue_k = P_k$. Then for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$UJU^* f(s) = sf(s). \tag{2.13}$$

(iv) For all $f \in L^1(\mu)$, the operator $f(J) : \ell_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$ has entries given by,

$$\langle e_i, f(J)e_j\rangle = \int f(s)P_i(s)P_j(s)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s). \tag{2.14}$$

2.2. **First associated polynomials.** The following definition is standard in orthogonal polynomial theory (see for example, [21, p. 18], [39]). We prove two lemmata about first associated polynomials that we will use later.

Definition 2.6. The first associated polynomials for J are $P_0^\mu, P_1^\mu, P_2^\mu, \dots$ defined by the three term recurrence

$$\lambda P_k^\mu(\lambda) = \beta_{k-1}P_{k-1}^\mu(\lambda) + \alpha_k P_k^\mu(\lambda) + \beta_k P_{k+1}^\mu(\lambda), \tag{2.15}$$

$$P_0^\mu(\lambda) = 0, \quad P_1^\mu(\lambda) = \beta_0^{-1}. \tag{2.16}$$

Remark 2.7. The orthogonal polynomials $P_0, P_1, \dots$ for J satisfy the following relationship componentwise for any polynomial f and any s.

$$f(J)\begin{pmatrix} P_0(s) \\ P_1(s) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = f(s)\begin{pmatrix} P_0(s) \\ P_1(s) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.17}$$

The following lemma describes how the first associated polynomials satisfy the same relationship but with a remainder. The authors are not aware of the following elementary result appearing in the literature.

Lemma 2.8. *Let f be a polynomial. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J)$,*

$$f(J)\begin{pmatrix} P_0^\mu(\lambda) \\ P_1^\mu(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = f(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} P_0^\mu(\lambda) \\ P_1^\mu(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} + \frac{f(J) - f(\lambda)}{J - \lambda}e_0. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward induction on $k = 0, 1, \dots$ for the functions $f(\lambda) = \lambda^k$, followed by an appeal to linearity. □

The relevance of the first associated polynomials for this work is the following integral formula.

Lemma 2.9. *(*[21, pp. 17,18]*) The first associated polynomials are given by the integral formula*

$$P_k^\mu(\lambda) = \int \frac{P_k(s) - P_k(\lambda)}{s - \lambda}\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J). \tag{2.19}$$

For notational convenience we also define the μ-derivative of a general polynomial.

Definition 2.10. Let μ be a probability measure compactly supported on the real line and let f be a polynomial. The μ-derivative of f is the polynomial defined by

$$f^\mu(\lambda) = \int \frac{f(s) - f(\lambda)}{s - \lambda}\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s). \tag{2.20}$$

3. Connection coefficient matrices

In this section we define the connection coefficient matrix and give preliminary results to indicate their relevance to spectral theory.

3.1. **Basic properties.** As in the introduction, consider a second bounded Jacobi operator,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \delta_0 & & \\ \delta_0 & \gamma_1 & \delta_1 & \\ & \delta_1 & \gamma_2 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

with principal residual function $H(z)$, spectral measure ν and orthogonal polynomials denoted $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \ldots$. In the introduction (Definition 1.2) we defined the connection coefficient matrix between J and D, $C = C_{J\to D}$ to have entries satisfying

$$P_k(s) = c_{0k}Q_0(s) + c_{1k}Q_1(s) + \cdots + c_{kk}Q_k(s). \tag{3.2}$$

By orthonormality of the polynomial sequences the entries can also be interpreted as

$$C_{J\to D} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle P_0, Q_0\rangle_\nu & \langle P_1, Q_0\rangle_\nu & \langle P_2, Q_0\rangle_\nu & \cdots \\ 0 & \langle P_1, Q_1\rangle_\nu & \langle P_2, Q_1\rangle_\nu & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \langle P_2, Q_2\rangle_\nu & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\nu$ is the standard inner product on $L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.1. *The entries of the connection coefficients matrix $C_{J\to D}$ satisfy the following 5-point discrete system:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & -\delta_{i-1}c_{i-1,j} & & \\ & & + & & \\ \beta_{j-1}c_{i,j-1} & + & (\alpha_j - \gamma_i)c_{ij} & + & \beta_j c_{i,j+1} \\ & & + & & \\ & & -\delta_i c_{i+1,j} & & \end{pmatrix} = 0, \text{ for all } 0 \le i < j,$$

with boundary conditions

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } i \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = -1 \text{ or } i = -1. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Assume by convention that $c_{ij} = 0$ if $i = -1$ or $j = -1$. Now using this boundary condition and the three term recurrences for the polynomial sequences, we see that

$$\langle Q_i(s), sP_j(s)\rangle_\nu = \beta_{j-1}\langle Q_i, P_{j-1}\rangle_\nu + \alpha_j\langle Q_i, P_j\rangle_\nu + \beta_j\langle Q_i, P_{j+1}\rangle_\nu \tag{3.5}$$

$$= \beta_{j-1}c_{i,j-1} + \alpha_j c_{ij} + \beta_j c_{i,j+1}, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\langle sQ_i(s), P_j(s)\rangle_\nu = \delta_{i-1}\langle Q_{i-1}, P_j\rangle_\nu + \gamma_i\langle Q_i, P_j\rangle_\nu + \delta_i\langle Q_{i+1}, P_j\rangle_\nu \tag{3.7}$$

$$= \delta_{i-1}c_{i-1,j} + \gamma_i c_{ij} + \delta_i c_{i+1,j}. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\langle sQ_i(s), P_j(s)\rangle_\nu = \langle Q_i(s), sP_j(s)\rangle_\nu$, we have the result for the interior points $0 \le i < j$.

The remaining boundary conditions come from $c_{i0} = \langle Q_i, P_0\rangle_\nu$ which equals 1 if $i = 0$ and 0 otherwise. □

The 5-point discrete system described in Lemma 3.1 can be used to find an explicit linear recurrence to compute the entries of C,

$$c_{0,0} = 1 \tag{3.9}$$

$$c_{0,1} = (\gamma_0 - \alpha_0)/\beta_0 \tag{3.10}$$

$$c_{1,1} = \delta_0/\beta_0 \tag{3.11}$$

$$c_{0,j} = \left((\gamma_0 - \alpha_{j-1})c_{0,j-1} + \delta_0 c_{1,j-1} - \beta_{j-2}c_{0,j-2}\right)/\beta_{j-1} \tag{3.12}$$

$$c_{i,j} = \left(\delta_{i-1}c_{i-1,j-1} + (\gamma_i - \alpha_{j-1})c_{i,j-1} + \delta_i c_{i+1,j-1} - \beta_{j-2}c_{i,j-2}\right)/\beta_{j-1}. \tag{3.13}$$

The rows and columns of C also satisfy infinite-vector-valued three-term recurrence relations. It is simply the 5-point discrete system rewritten in vector form, but this is the form which we make use of in the proofs later.

Corollary 3.2. *The columns of C satisfy*

$$c_{*,0} = e_0 \tag{3.14}$$

$$Dc_{*,0} = \alpha_0 c_{*,0} + \beta_0 c_{*,1} \tag{3.15}$$

$$Dc_{*,j} = \beta_{j-1} c_{*,j-1} + \alpha_j c_{*,j} + \beta_j c_{*,j+1}. \tag{3.16}$$

Consequently the jth column can be written $c_{*,j} = P_j(D)e_0$.

The rows of C satisfy

$$c_{0,*}J = \gamma_0 c_{0,*} + \delta_0 c_{1,*}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$c_{i,*}J = \delta_{i-1} c_{i-1,*} + \gamma_i c_{i,*} + \delta_i c_{i+1,*}. \tag{3.18}$$

Consequently, the ith row can be written $c_{i,*} = c_{0,*}Q_i(J)$.

Proof. The recurrence relations for the rows and columns are merely a renotation of equations (3.9)–(3.13). The consequences follow from the uniqueness of solution to second order difference equations with two initial data (adding $c_{-1,*} = 0$ and $c_{*,-1} = 0$). □

3.2. **Connection coefficients and spectral theory.** The following theorems give precise results about how the connection coefficients matrix C can be useful for studying and computing the spectra of Jacobi operators.

Theorem 3.3. *Let J and D be bounded Jacobi operators and $C = C_{J\to D}$ the connection coefficients matrix. For all polynomials p, we have the following as operators from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$,*

$$Cp(J) = p(D)C.$$

Remark 3.4. In particular, as operators from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$J = C^{-1}DC.$$

Proof. First we begin with the case $p(z) = z$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} CJe_0 &= C(\alpha_0 e_0 + \beta_0 e_1) \\ &= \alpha_0 Ce_0 + \beta_0 Ce_1 \\ &= \alpha_0 c_{*,0} + \beta_0 c_{*,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 3.2, this is equal to $Dc_{*,0}$, which is equal to DCe_0. Now, for any $j > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} CJe_j &= C(\beta_{j-1}e_{j-1} + \alpha_j e_j + \beta_j e_{j+1}) \\ &= \beta_{j-1}c_{*,j-1} + \alpha_k c_{*,j} + \beta_j c_{*,j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 3.2, this is equal to $Dc_{*,j}$, which is equal to DCe_j. Hence $CJ = DC$.

Now, when $f(z) = z^k$ for any $k > 0$, $D^k C = D^{k-1}CJ = \cdots = CJ^k$. By linearity $Cf(J) = f(D)C$ for all polynomials f. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let J and D be bounded Jacobi operators with spectral measures μ and ν respectively, and connection coefficient matrix $C = C_{J\to D}$. Then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}) \text{ if and only if } c_{0,*} \in \ell^2,$$

in which case

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k} P_k. \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k P_k$, for some $a \in \ell^2$. Since the polynomials P_k are orthonormal in $L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \int P_k(s) \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\ &= \int P_k(s)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(s) \qquad \text{(definition of R–N derivative)} \\ &= c_{0,k} \qquad \text{(equation (3.3)).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_{0,*} \in \ell^2$ and gives the P_k coefficients of $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$.

Conversely, suppose that $c_{0,*} \in \ell^2$. Then the function $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_{0,k} P_k$ is in $L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$, and by the same manipulations as above its projections onto polynomial subspaces are equal to that of $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$. □

Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.5 can be made more general. If the first row of C is such that the series defined by $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_{0,k} P_k$ converges weakly (in the probabilists' sense) to an $L^1_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ function, then $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$ exists and is equal to that limit. However, such a condition on the entries of C is more difficult to check.

If we have a situation in which $c_{0,*} \in \ell^2$, we can by Proposition 3.5 and basic properties of the Radon–Nikodym derivative deduce that $\sigma(D) \subset \sigma(J)$ and the function defined by $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_{0,k} P_k$ is zero on $\sigma(J) \setminus \sigma(D)$. This observation translates into a rootfinding problem in Section 4.

Lemma 3.7. *Let J and D be bounded Jacobi operators with spectral measures μ and ν respectively, and connection coefficient matrix $C = C_{J\to D}$. If ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ, then as operators mapping $\ell_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$,*

$$C^T C = \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(J). \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Note first that since $C : \ell_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $C^T : \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$, $C^T C$ is well-defined $\ell_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_i, C^T C e_j \rangle &= \langle e_0, P_i(D) P_j(D) e_0 \rangle \qquad \text{(Corollary 3.2)} \\ &= \int P_i(s) P_j(s)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(s) \qquad \text{(Theorem 2.5)} \\ &= \int P_i(s) P_j(s) \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\ &= \left\langle e_i, \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(J) e_j \right\rangle \qquad \text{(Theorem 2.5).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let J and D be bounded Jacobi operators with spectral measures μ and ν respectively, and connection coefficient matrix $C = C_{J\to D}$. Then $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if C is a bounded operator on ℓ^2, in which case*

$$\|C\|_2^2 = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\in\sigma(J)} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s) \right|. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$. Then by Lemma 3.7,

$$\|C^T C\|_2 = \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(J) \right\|_2 = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\in\sigma(J)} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s) \right|. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence $C^T C$ is bounded. The relationship $\|C\|_2^2 = \|C^T C\|_2$ completes this direction.

Now suppose that C is bounded. Then by Proposition 3.5 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.7, $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(J)$ is a bounded operator on ℓ^2 (because it is equal to the bounded operator $C^T C$). Since $\left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(J) \right\|_2 = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s\in\sigma(J)} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s) \right|$, we have that in fact, $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let J and D be bounded Jacobi operators with spectral measures μ and ν respectively, and connection coefficient matrix $C = C_{J\to D}$. Then $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \in L^\infty_\nu(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if C is bounded and invertible on ℓ^2.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, $C_{J\to D}$ is bounded if and only if $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu} \in L^\infty_\mu(\mathbb{R})$, and $C_{D\to J}$ is bounded if and only if $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \in L^\infty_\nu(\mathbb{R})$. Combining this the fact that $C^{-1}_{J\to D} = C_{D\to J}$, as operators from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to itself, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let J and D be Jacobi operators with principal resolvents G and H respectively. If $C_{J\to D}$ is banded with bandwidth b, then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J)$,*

$$H(\lambda) = p_C(\lambda)G(\lambda) + p^\mu_C(\lambda), \tag{3.23}$$

where $p_C(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{b} c_{0k}P_k(s)$ and p^μ_C is the μ-derivative of p_C as in Definition 2.10.

Remark 3.11. Lemma 3.10 may be generalised to some cases in which the connection coefficient matrix is not banded, but one must be careful about which values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $p^\mu_C(\lambda)$ and $p_C(\lambda)$ converge.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\lambda) &= \int (s-\lambda)^{-1}\mathrm{d}\nu(s) \\ &= \int (s-\lambda)^{-1}p_C(s)\mathrm{d}\mu(s). \end{aligned}$$

Now, provided both of the following integrals exist, we can split this into

$$H(\lambda) = \int (s-\lambda)^{-1}p_C(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\mu(s) + \int (s-\lambda)^{-1}(p_C(s) - p_C(\lambda))\mathrm{d}\mu(s).$$

These integrals clearly do exist because p_C is a polynomial, and give the desired result. □

The following definition and lemma are useful later.

Definition 3.12. Given polynomial sequences $P_0, P_1, P_2, \ldots$ and $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \ldots$ for Jacobi operators J and D respectively, we define the matrix C^μ to be the connection coefficients matrix between $P^\mu_0, P^\mu_1, P^\mu_2, \ldots$ and $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \ldots$ as in Definition 1.2, where $P^\mu_0, P^\mu_1, P^\mu_2, \ldots$ are the first associated polynomials for J as in Definition 2.6. Noting that the lower triangular matrix $(C^\mu)^T$ is a well defined operator fro $\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$ into itself, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^\mu_0(s) \\ P^\mu_1(s) \\ P^\mu_2(s) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = (C^\mu)^\top \begin{pmatrix} Q_0(s) \\ Q_1(s) \\ Q_2(s) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } s. \tag{3.24}$$

Remark 3.13. Note that C^μ is *strictly* upper triangular, because the first associated polynomials have their degrees one less than their indices.

Lemma 3.14. *The operator C^μ as defined above for $C_{J\to D}$ is in fact $\beta_0^{-1}(0, C_{J^\mu\to D})$, where*

$$J^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \\ & \beta_2 & \alpha_3 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. The (unique) orthonormal polynomials for J^μ are $\beta_0 P^\mu_1, \beta_0 P^\mu_2, \beta_0 P^\mu_3, \ldots$, and $P^\mu_0 = 0$. □

4. Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operators

In this section we present several novel results which show how the connection coefficient matrices can be used for computing the spectral measure of a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operator.

4.1. **Jacobi operators for Chebyshev polynomials.** There are two particular Jacobi operators with Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank structure that are of great interest,

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & & & \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

The spectral measures of Δ and Γ are

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_\Delta(s) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-s^2}\mathrm{d}s, \quad \mathrm{d}\mu_\Gamma(s) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s^2}}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.2}$$

supported on $[-1,1]$.

Using results of Stieltjes in his seminal paper [35], [2, App.], the principal resolvent can be written elegantly as a continued fraction,

$$G(\lambda) = \cfrac{-1}{\lambda - \alpha_0 - \cfrac{\beta_0^2}{\lambda-\alpha_1 - \cfrac{\beta_1^2}{\lambda-\alpha_2-\cdots}}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Using this gives explicit expressions for the principal resolvents,

$$G_\Delta(\lambda) = 2\sqrt{\lambda+1}\sqrt{\lambda-1} - 2\lambda, \quad G_\Gamma(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\lambda+1}\sqrt{\lambda-1}}. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.1. We must be careful about which branch we refer to when we write the resolvents in this explicit form. Wherever $\sqrt{}$ is written above we mean the standard branch that is positive on $(0,\infty)$ with branch cut $(-\infty,0]$. This gives a branch cut along $[-1,1]$ in both cases, the discontinuity of G across which makes the Perron–Stieltjes inversion formula in Theorem 2.2 work. It also ensures the $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ decay resolvents enjoy as $\lambda \to \infty$.

The orthonormal polynomials for Δ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, which we denote $U_k(s)$,

$$U_k(s) = \frac{\sin((k+1)\cos^{-1}(s))}{\sin(\cos^{-1}(s))}. \tag{4.5}$$

The orthonormal polynomials for Γ are the *normalised* Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, which we denote $\tilde{T}_k(s)$. Note that these are not the usual Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind (denoted $T_k(s)$) [21, 14]. We in fact have,

$$\tilde{T}_0(s) = 1, \quad \tilde{T}_k(s) = \sqrt{2}\cos(k\cos^{-1}(s)). \tag{4.6}$$

The first associated polynomials have simple relationships with the orthonormal polynomials,

$$U_k^{\mu_\Delta} = 2U_{k-1}, \quad \tilde{T}_k^{\mu_\Gamma} = \sqrt{2}U_{k-1}. \tag{4.7}$$

4.2. **Rank-one perturbations.** In this section we demonstrate for two simple, rank-one perturbations of Δ how the connection coefficient matrix relates properties of the spectrum of the operators. This will give some intuition as to what to expect in more general cases.

Example 4.2 (Basic perturbation 1)**.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and define

$$J_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

Then the connection coefficient matrix $C_{J_\alpha\to\Delta}$ is the bidiagonal Toeplitz matrix

$$C_{J_\alpha\to\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha & & & \\ & 1 & -\alpha & & \\ & & 1 & -\alpha & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.9}$$

This can be computed using the explicit recurrences (3.9)–(3.13). The connection coefficient matrix $C_{\Delta\to J_\alpha}$ (which is the inverse of $C_{J_\alpha\to\Delta}$ on $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$) is the full Toeplitz matrix

$$C_{\Delta\to J_\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \cdots \\ & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots \\ & & 1 & \alpha & \cdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.10}$$

From this we see that $C = C_{J_\alpha\to\Delta}$ has a bounded inverse in ℓ^2 if and only if $|\alpha| < 1$. Hence by Theorem 3.3, if $|\alpha| < 1$ then $CJ_\alpha C^{-1} = \Delta$ with each operator bounded on ℓ^2, so that $\sigma(J_\alpha) = \sigma(\Delta) = [-1, 1]$. We will discuss what happens when $|\alpha| \geq 1$ later in the section.

Example 4.3 (Basic perturbation 2)**.** Let $\beta > 0$, and define

$$J_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\beta}{2} & & & \\ \frac{\beta}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.11}$$

Then the connection coefficient matrix $C_{J_\beta\to\Delta}$ is the banded Toeplitz-plus-rank-1 matrix

$$C_{J_\beta\to\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \beta^{-1}-\beta & & & \\ & \beta^{-1} & 0 & \beta^{-1}-\beta & & \\ & & \beta^{-1} & 0 & \beta^{-1}-\beta & \\ & & & \beta^{-1} & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.12}$$

Just as in Example 4.2, this can be computed using the explicit recurrences (3.9)–(3.13). The connection coefficient matrix $C_{\Delta\to J_\beta}$ (which is the inverse of $C_{J_\beta\to\Delta}$ on $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$) is the Toeplitz-plus-rank-1 matrix

$$C_{\Delta\to J_\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \beta^2-1 & 0 & (\beta^2-1)^2 & 0 & (\beta^2-1)^3 & \cdots \\ & \beta & 0 & \beta(\beta^2-1) & 0 & \beta(\beta^2-1)^2 & 0 & \cdots \\ & & \beta & 0 & \beta(\beta^2-1) & 0 & \beta(\beta^2-1)^2 & \cdots \\ & & & \beta & 0 & \beta(\beta^2-1) & 0 & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.13}$$

From this we see that $C = C_{J_\beta\to\Delta}$ has a bounded inverse on ℓ^2 if and only if $\beta < \sqrt{2}$. Hence by Theorem 3.3, if $\beta < \sqrt{2}$ then $CJ_\beta C^{-1} = \Delta$ with each operator bounded on ℓ^2, so that $\sigma(J_\beta) = \sigma(\Delta) = [-1, 1]$. We will discuss what happens when $\beta \geq \sqrt{2}$ later in the section. Note that the case $\beta = \sqrt{2}$ gives the Jacobi operator Γ in equation (4.1).

4.3. **Fine properties of the connection coefficients.** The two basic perturbations of Δ discussed above give connection coefficient matrices that are highly structured. The following lemmata and theorems prove that this is no coincidence; in fact, if Jacobi operator J is a finite-rank perturbation of Δ then $C_{J\to\Delta}$ is also a finite-rank perturbation of Toeplitz.

Remark 4.4. Note for the following results that all vectors and matrices are indexed starting from 0.

Lemma 4.5. *If $\delta_j = \beta_j$ for $j \geq n$ then $c_{jj} = c_{nn}$ for all $j \geq n$.*

Proof. By the recurrence in Lemma 3.1, $c_{jj} = (\delta_{j-1}/\beta_{j-1})c_{j-1,j-1}$. The result follows by induction. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let J and D be Jacobi operators with coefficients $\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}$ and $\{\gamma_k, \delta_k\}$ respectively, such that there exists an n such that*[2]

$$\alpha_k = \gamma_k = \alpha_n, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \delta_{k-1} = \beta_{n-1} \text{ for all } k \geq n. \tag{4.14}$$

Then the entries of the connection coefficient matrix $C = C_{J\to D}$ satisfy

$$c_{i,j} = c_{i-1,j-1} \text{ for all } i, j > 0 \text{ such that } i \geq n. \tag{4.15}$$

Remark 4.7. This means that C is of the form $C = C_{\text{Toe}} + C_{\text{fin}}$ where C_{Toe} is Toeplitz and C_{fin} is zero except in the first $n-1$ rows. For example, when $n = 4$, we have the following structure

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & \cdots \\ & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \ddots \\ & & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \ddots \\ & & & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f_{00} & f_{01} & f_{02} & f_{03} & f_{04} & \cdots \\ & f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{14} & \cdots \\ & & f_{22} & f_{23} & f_{24} & \cdots \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ that

$$c_{i,i+k} = c_{i-1,i+k-1} \text{ for all } i \geq n. \tag{4.16}$$

We use the recurrences in Lemma 3.1 and equations (3.9)–(3.13). The base case $k = 0$ is proved in Lemma 4.5. Now we deal with the second base case, $k = 1$. For any $i \geq n$, we have $\beta_i = \delta_i = \beta_{i-1} = \delta_{i-1}$, and $\alpha_i = \gamma_i$, so

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,i+1} &= \left(\delta_{i-1}c_{i-1,i} + (\gamma_i - \alpha_i)c_{i,i} + \delta_i c_{i+1,i} - \beta_{i-1}c_{i,i-1}\right)/\beta_i \\ &= 1 \cdot c_{i-1,i} + 0 \cdot c_{i,i} + 1 \cdot 0 - 1 \cdot 0 \\ &= c_{i-1,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the case $k > 1$. For any $i \geq n$, we have $\delta_i = \delta_{i-1} = \beta_{i+k-2} = \beta_{i+k-1}$, and $\alpha_{i+k-1} = \gamma_i$, so

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,i+k} &= \left(\delta_{i-1}c_{i-1,i+k-1} + (\gamma_i - \alpha_{i+k-1})c_{i,i+k-1} + \delta_i c_{i+1,i+k-1} - \beta_{i+k-2}c_{i,i+k-2}\right)/\beta_{i+k-1} \\ &= 1 \cdot c_{i-1,i+k-1} + 0 \cdot c_{i,i+k-1} + 1 \cdot c_{i+1,i+k-1} - 1 \cdot c_{i,i+k-2} \\ &= c_{i-1,i+k-1} + c_{i+1,i+k-1} - c_{i,i+k-2} \\ &= c_{i-1,i+k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from the induction hypothesis for the case $k-2$ (hence why we needed two base cases). □

The special case in which D is Toeplitz gives even more structure to C, as demonstrated by the following theorem. We state the results for a finite-rank perturbation of the free Jacobi operator Δ, but they apply to general Toeplitz-plus-finite rank Jacobi operators because the connection coefficients matrix C is unaffected by a scaling and shift by the identity applied to both J and D.

Theorem 4.8. *Let J be a Jacobi operator such that there exists an n such that*

$$\alpha_k = 0, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq n, \tag{4.17}$$

i.e. it is equal to the free Jacobi operator Δ outside the $n \times n$ principal submatrix. Then the entries of the connection coefficient matrix $C = C_{J\to\Delta}$ satisfy

$$c_{i,j} = c_{i-1,j-1} \text{ for all } i, j > 0 \text{ such that } i + j \geq 2n \tag{4.18}$$

$$c_{0,j} = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 2n. \tag{4.19}$$

[2]More intuitively, the entries of J and D are both equal and Toeplitz, except in the principal $n \times n$ submatrix, where neither statement necessarily holds.

Remark 4.9. This means that C is of the form $C = C_{\text{Toe}} + C_{\text{fin}}$ where C_{Toe} is Toeplitz with bandwidth $2n-1$ and C_{fin} zero except for entries in the $(n-1)\times(2n-2)$ principal submatrix. For example when $n=4$, we have the following structure,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & t_6 & t_7 & & \\ & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & t_6 & t_7 & \\ & & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & t_6 & \ddots \\ & & & t_0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f_{0,0} & f_{0,1} & f_{0,2} & f_{0,3} & f_{0,4} & f_{0,5} & \\ & f_{1,1} & f_{1,2} & f_{1,3} & f_{1,4} & & \\ & & f_{2,2} & f_{2,3} & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. First we prove (4.18). Fix i,j such that $i+j \geq 2n$. Note that the case $i \geq n$ is proven in Lemma 4.6. Hence we assume $i < n$. Hence $j > n$. Using Lemma 3.1 and equations (3.9)–(3.13) we find the following recurrence. Substituting $\delta_i = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma_i = 0$ for all i, and $\alpha_k = 0$, $\beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ for $k \geq n$ into the recurrence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,j} &= \left(\delta_{i-1}c_{i-1,j-1} + (\gamma_i - \alpha_{j-1})c_{i,j-1} + \delta_i c_{i+1,j-1} - \beta_{j-2}c_{i,j-2}\right)/\beta_{j-1}. \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}c_{i-1,j-1} - \alpha_{j-1}c_{i,j-1} + \frac{1}{2}c_{i+1,j-1} - \beta_{j-2}c_{i,j-2}\right)/\beta_{j-1} \\ &= c_{i-1,j-1} + c_{i+1,j-1} - c_{i,j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process on $c_{i+1,j-1}$ in the above expression gives

$$c_{i,j} = c_{i-1,j-1} + c_{i+2,j-2} - c_{i+1,j-3}.$$

Repeating the process on $c_{i+2,j-2}$ and so on eventually gives

$$c_{i,j} = c_{i-1,j-1} + c_{n,i+j-n} - c_{n-1,i+j-n-1}.$$

By Lemma 4.6, $c_{n,i+j-n} = c_{n-1,i+j-n-1}$, so we are left with $c_{i,j} = c_{i-1,j-1}$. This completes the proof of (4.18).

Now we prove (4.19). Let $j \geq 2n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,j} &= \left((\gamma_0 - \alpha_{j-1})c_{0,j-1} + \delta_0 c_{1,j-1} - \beta_{j-2}c_{0,j-2}\right)/\beta_{j-1} \\ &= \left(-\alpha_{j-1}c_{0,j-1} + \frac{1}{2}c_{1,j-1} - \beta_{j-2}c_{0,j-2}\right)/\beta_{j-1} \\ &= c_{1,j-1} - c_{0,j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to zero by (4.18), because $1 + (j-1) \geq 2n$. □

Corollary 4.10. *Let C^μ be as defined in Definition 3.12 for C as in Theorem 4.8. Then $C^\mu = C^\mu_{\text{Toe}} + C^\mu_{\text{fin}}$, where C^μ_{Toe} is Toeplitz with bandwidth $2n-2$ and C^μ_{fin} is zero outside the $(n-2)\times(2n-1)$ principal submatrix.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8 applied to J^μ as defined in Lemma 3.14. □

Remark 4.11. A technical point worth noting for use in proofs later is that for Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operators like J and D occurring in Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.10, the operators C, C^T, C^μ and $(C^\mu)^T$ all map $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$. Consequently, combinations such as CC^T, $C^\mu C^T$ are all well defined operators from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$.

4.4. **Properties of the resolvent.** When the Jacobi operator J is Toeplitz-plus-finite rank, as a consequence of the structure of the connection coefficients matrix proved in subsection 4.3, the principal resolvent G (see Definition 2.1) and spectral measure (see Theorem 2.2) are also highly structured. As usual these proofs are stated for a finite-rank perturbation of the free Jacobi operator Δ, but apply to general Toeplitz-plus-finite rank Jacobi operators by applying appropriate scaling and shifting.

Theorem 4.12. *Let J be a Jacobi operator such that there exists an n such that*

$$\alpha_k = 0, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq n, \tag{4.20}$$

i.e. it is equal to the free Jacobi operator Δ outside the $n \times n$ principal submatrix. Then the principal resolvent for J is

$$G(\lambda) = \frac{G_\Delta(\lambda) - p_C^\mu(\lambda)}{p_C(\lambda)}, \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$p_C(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_{0,k} P_k(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \langle e_k, CC^T e_0 \rangle U_k(\lambda), \tag{4.22}$$

$$p_C^\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} c_{0,k} P_k^\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \langle e_k, C^\mu C^T e_0 \rangle U_k(\lambda), \tag{4.23}$$

P_k are the orthonormal polynomials for J, P_k^μ are the first associated polynomials for J as in Definition 2.6, and U_k are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.

Remark 4.13. p_C^μ is the μ-derivative of p_C as in Definition 2.10.

Proof. By Lemma 3.10 with bandwidth $b = 2n - 1$,

$$G_\Delta(\lambda) = p_C(\lambda) G(\lambda) + p_C^\mu(\lambda). \tag{4.24}$$

The equation can be immediately rearranged to obtain (4.21). To see the equality in equations (4.22), note that by the definition of the connection coefficient matrix C,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_{0,k} P_k(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_{0,k} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} c_{j,k} U_j(\lambda) \tag{4.25}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_{0,k} c_{j,k} \right) U_j(\lambda) \tag{4.26}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \langle e_j, CC^T e_0 \rangle U_j(\lambda). \tag{4.27}$$

Equation (4.23) follows by the same algebra. □

Theorem 4.14. *Let J be a Jacobi operator such that there exists an n such that*

$$\alpha_k = 0, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq n, \tag{4.28}$$

i.e. it is equal to the free Jacobi operator Δ outside the $n \times n$ principal submatrix. Then the spectral measure for J is

$$\mu(s) = \frac{1}{p_C(s)} \mu_\Delta(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} w_k \delta_{\lambda_k}(s), \tag{4.29}$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ are the roots of p_C in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1, -1\}$ such that

$$w_k = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{i} G(\lambda_k + i\epsilon) \neq 0. \tag{4.30}$$

Furthermore, there are no roots of p_C inside $(-1, 1)$, and the number of roots of p_C for which $w_k \neq 0$ is at most n (i.e. $r \leq n$).

Proof. Let G and μ be the principal resolvent and spectral measure of J respectively. By Theorem 4.12,

$$G(\lambda) = \frac{G_\Delta(\lambda) - p_C^\mu(\lambda)}{p_C(\lambda)}.$$

Letting $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2n-1}$ be the roots of p_C in the complex plane, define the set

$$S = [-1, 1] \cup (\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2n-1}\} \cap \mathbb{R}). \tag{4.31}$$

By inspection of the above formula for G, and because resolvents of selfadjoint operators are analytic off the real line, we have that G is continuous outside of S. Therefore, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(s, S) > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} G(s + i\epsilon) = \operatorname{Im} G(s) = 0. \tag{4.32}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.2 part (ii), for any interval (s_1, s_2) such that $\operatorname{dist}(S, (s_1, s_2)) > 0$, we have $\mu((s_1, s_2)) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\{s_1\}) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\{s_2\}) = 0$. Therefore the essential support of μ is contained within S.

We are interested in the real roots of p_C. Can any roots of p_C lie in the interval $[-1, 1]$? By Proposition 3.5, $\mathrm{d}\mu_\Delta(s) = p_C(s)\mathrm{d}\mu(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $s \in [-1, 1]$ such that $p_C(s) \neq 0$, it follows that $\mathrm{d}\mu(s) = \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1-s^2}}{p_C(s)}\mathrm{d}s$. From this we have

$$1 \geq \mu((-1, 1)) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}{p_C(s)} \, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.33}$$

This integral is only finite if p_C has no roots in $(-1, 1)$ and only simple roots at ± 1. Since S is a disjoint union of $[-1, 1]$ and a finite set S' we can write

$$\mu(s)\frac{1}{p_C(s)}\mu_\Delta(s) + \sum_{\lambda_k \in S'} \mu(\{\lambda_k\})\delta_{\lambda_k}(s). \tag{4.34}$$

By Theorem 2.2 part (iii),

$$\mu(\{s\}) = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{i} G(s + i\epsilon) \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.35}$$

This gives the desired formula for w_k.

Finally, let us prove that the number of eigenvalues (denoted r in the statement of the theorem) must be at most n. We proceed by induction on $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ that the Jacobi operator $J = \Delta + \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k \otimes v_k$ has at most n eigenvalues, where $(v \otimes w)u = \langle w, u\rangle v$ for $u, v, w \in \ell^2$.

For $n = 0$ this is already known because Δ only has continuous spectrum. Now consider $n > 0$. If λ is an eigenvalue of J with eigenvector $w \in \ell^2$, then

$$(\Delta - \lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k \otimes v_k)w = 0. \tag{4.36}$$

If $\langle v_k, w\rangle = 0$ for all k then w is an eigenvector of Δ, which is impossible because Δ has only continuous spectrum. Hence, without loss of generality $\langle v_n, w\rangle \neq 0$. Write $\tilde{J} = \Delta + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} v_k \otimes v_k$. Then we have

$$(\tilde{J} - \lambda)w + \langle v_n, w\rangle v_n = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

This implies that λ is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{J}$. Hence we may write

$$w + (\tilde{J} - \lambda)^{-1}\langle v_n, w\rangle v_n = 0, \tag{4.38}$$

which after multiplying by $v_n^T / \langle v_n, w\rangle$ gives

$$1 + \langle v_n, (\tilde{J} - \lambda)^{-1} v_n\rangle = 0. \tag{4.39}$$

If we write the left hand side as $f(\lambda)$, then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(\tilde{J})$, $f'(\lambda) = \langle v_n, (\tilde{J} - \lambda)^{-2} v_n\rangle > 0$ since $(\tilde{J} - \lambda)$ is invertible and $v_n \neq 0$. Hence on intervals of continuity f is strictly increasing and therefore injective. Therefore there can be at most one root of f in each interval of continuity. See Figure 2 for a demonstration of the intervals of continuity for an example $f(\lambda)$.

By inductive hypothesis $\langle v_n, (\tilde{J} - \lambda)^{-1} v_n\rangle$ has at most $n - 1$ poles and a branch cut along $[-1, 1]$. f thus has at most $n + 1$ intervals of continuity. However, for the left-most interval, $(-\infty, a)$, where a is either the left-most pole of f or -1, $f(\lambda) \geq 1$, since $\lim_{\lambda \to -\infty} f(\lambda) = 1$ and f is increasing. Hence there are at most n roots of the equation $f(\lambda) = 0$. Hence there are at most n eigenvalues of J. □



FIGURE 2. In order to demonstrate the second part of the proof of Theorem 4.14, this figure shows the Herglotz function $f(\lambda) = 1 + 2\sqrt{\lambda-1}\sqrt{\lambda+1} - 2\lambda + \lambda(9-\lambda^2)^{-1}$. There are four intervals of continuity for f; they are $(-\infty,-3)$, $(-3,-1)$, $(1,3)$ and $(3,\infty)$, within each of which f is strictly increasing. As in the proof, the left-most interval cannot possibly contain a root because there $f(\lambda) > 1$. Hence f has at most three real roots.

Remark 4.15. Theorem 4.14 gives an explicit formula for the spectral measure of J, when J is Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operator. The entries of C can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operations (for an $n \times n$ perturbation of Toeplitz). Hence, the absolutely continuous part of the measure can be computed *exactly* in finite time. It would appear at first that we may compute the locations of the point spectrum by computing the roots of p_C, but in fact we find that not all real roots of p_C have $w_k \neq 0$. Hence we rely on cancellation between the numerator and denominator in the formula for $G(\lambda)$, which is a dangerous game when error control is desired. Subsection 4.5 remedies this situation.

Example 4.16 (Basic perturbation 1 revisited)**.** The polynomial p_C in Theorem 4.12 is

$$p_C(\lambda) = c_{0,0}P_0(\lambda) + c_{0,1}P_1(\lambda) = 1 - \alpha(2\lambda - \alpha) = 2\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) - \lambda\right), \tag{4.40}$$

and the μ-derivative is $p_C^{\mu}(\lambda) = -2\alpha$. Theorem 4.12 gives

$$G(\lambda) = \frac{G_\Delta(\lambda) + 2\alpha}{2\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) - \lambda\right)}. \tag{4.41}$$

Consider the case $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Then a brief calculation reveals $G_\Delta(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})) = -2\alpha$. Hence the root $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$ of the denominator is always cancelled out. Hence G has no poles, and so J has no eigenvalues.

In the case where $|\alpha| > 1$, we have a different situation. Here $G_\Delta(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})) = -2\alpha^{-1}$. Therefore the root $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$ of the denominator is *never* cancelled out. Hence there is always a pole of G at $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$, and therefore also an eigenvalue of J there.

Notice a heavy reliance on cancellations in the numerator and denominator for the existence of eigenvalues. The approach in subsection 4.5 avoids this.

Example 4.17 (Basic perturbation 2 revisited)**.** The polynomial p_C in Theorem 4.12 is

$$p_C(\lambda) = c_{0,0}P_0(\lambda) + c_{0,2}P_2(\lambda) = 1 + (\beta^{-1} - \beta)(4\beta^{-1}\lambda^2 - \beta). \tag{4.42}$$

This simplifies to $p_C(\lambda) = 4(1-\beta^{-2})\left(\frac{\beta^4}{4(\beta^2-1)} - \lambda^2\right)$. Using Definition 2.6, the μ-derivative is $p_C^\mu(\lambda) = c_{0,2}P_2^\mu(\lambda) = 4\beta^{-1}\lambda$. Theorem 4.12 gives

$$G(\lambda) = \frac{G_\Delta(\lambda) + 4\beta^{-1}\lambda}{4(1-\beta^{-2})\left(\frac{\beta^4}{4(\beta^2-1)} - \lambda^2\right)}. \tag{4.43}$$

Clearly the only points G may have a pole is at $\lambda = \pm\frac{\beta^2}{2\sqrt{\beta^2-1}}$. However, it is difficult to see whether there would be cancellation on the numerator. In the previous discussion on this example we noted that there would not be any poles when $|\beta| < \sqrt{2}$, which means that the numerator must be zero at these points, but it is far from clear here. The techniques we develop in the sequel illuminate this issue, especially for examples which are much more complicated than the two trivial ones given so far.

4.5. **The Joukowski transformation.** The following two lemmata and two theorems prove that Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.14 can be simplified drastically by making the change of variables

$$\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1}) \tag{4.44}$$

This map is known as the Joukowski map. It is an analytic bijection from $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$, sending the unit circle to two copies of the interval $[-1, 1]$.

The Joukowski map has special relevance for the principal resolvent of Δ. A brief calculation reveals that for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$G_\Delta(\lambda(z)) = -2z. \tag{4.45}$$

Further, we will see that the polynomials $p_C(\lambda)$ and $p_C^\mu(\lambda)$ occurring in our formula for G can be expressed neatly as polynomials in z and z^{-1}. This is a consequence of a special property of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\frac{U_{m-k}(\lambda(z))}{U_m(\lambda(z))} \to z^k \text{ as } m \to \infty. \tag{4.46}$$

These convenient facts allow us to remove any square roots involved in the formulae in Theorem 4.12.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $p_C(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1}\langle e_0, CC^T e_k\rangle U_k(\lambda)$ as in Theorem 4.12 and let c be the symbol of C_{Toe}, the Toeplitz part of C as guaranteed by Theorem 4.8. Then*

$$p_C(\lambda(z)) = c(z)c(z^{-1}), \tag{4.47}$$

where $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$.

Proof. The key quantity to observe for this proof is

$$\frac{1}{U_m(\lambda)}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, CC^T e_m \right\rangle \tag{4.48}$$

as $m \to \infty$. We will show it is equal to both sides of equation (4.47). Recall from Remark 4.11 that CC^T maps from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$.

By Corollary 3.2 on the mth row of C, we have $C^T e_m = U_m(J)C^T e_0$. By Theorem 3.3, $CU_m(J) = U_m(\Delta)C$. Hence,

$$CC^T e_m = CU_m(J)C^T e_0 = U_m(\Delta)CC^T e_0. \tag{4.49}$$

Using this and the relationship (2.17) for $f = U_m$ and $J = \Delta$, we have

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, CC^T e_m \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, U_m(\Delta)CC^T e_0 \right\rangle = \left\langle U_m(\Delta) \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, CC^T e_0 \right\rangle$$

$$= U_m(\lambda)\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, CC^T e_0 \right\rangle = U_m(\lambda) p_C(\lambda).$$

Now, by Theorem 4.8, $C = C_{\text{Toe}} + C_{\text{fin}}$, where C_{fin} is zero outside the $(n-1)\times(2n-2)$ principal submatrix. Hence for m sufficiently large we have $c_{m,m+k} = t_k$ for a sequence $(t_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $t_k = 0$ for $k \notin \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1\}$. The Toeplitz symbol of C_{Toe} is $c(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} t_k z^k$. Hence we have for m sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{U_m(\lambda)}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, CC^T e_m \right\rangle &= \sum_{k=1-2n}^{2n-1} \langle C^T e_{m+k}, C^T e_m\rangle U_{m+k}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=1-2n}^{2n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} c_{m+k,m+j}c_{m,m+j}U_{m+k}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=1-2n}^{2n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} t_{j-k}t_j U_{m+k}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} t_k t_j U_{m+k-j}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

By equation (4.46), this tends to $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} t_k t_j z^{j-k}$ as $m \to \infty$. This is equal to $c(z)c(z^{-1})$. □

Lemma 4.19. *Let $p_C^\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1}\langle e_k, C^\mu C^T e_0\rangle U_k(\lambda)$ as in Theorem 4.12 and let c_μ be the symbol of C^μ_{Toe}, the Toeplitz part of C^μ as guaranteed by Corollary 4.10. Then*

$$p_C^\mu(\lambda(z)) = c(z^{-1})c_\mu(z) - 2z, \tag{4.50}$$

where $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. The key quantity to observe for this proof is

$$\frac{1}{U_m(\lambda)}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^\mu C^T e_m \right\rangle \tag{4.51}$$

as $m \to \infty$. We will compute two equivalent expressions for this quantity to derive equation (4.50).

By Corollary 3.2 on the mth row of C, we have $C^T e_m = U_m(J)C^T e_0$. Hence, using the definition of C^μ for the second line,

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^\mu C^T e_m \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^\mu U_m(J) C^T e_0 \right\rangle \tag{4.52}$$

$$= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} P_0^\mu(\lambda) \\ P_1^\mu(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, U_m(J) C^T e_0 \right\rangle. \tag{4.53}$$

By Lemma 2.8 with $f = U_m$,

$$U_m(J)\begin{pmatrix} P_0^\mu(\lambda) \\ P_1^\mu(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = U_m(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} P_0^\mu(\lambda) \\ P_1^\mu(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} + \frac{U_m(J) - U_m(\lambda)}{J - \lambda} e_0. \tag{4.54}$$

Combining equation (4.53) and equation (4.54) gives

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^\mu C^T e_m \right\rangle = \underbrace{U_m(\lambda) \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} P_0^\mu(\lambda) \\ P_1^\mu(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^T e_0 \right\rangle}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\left\langle e_0, \frac{U_m(J) - U_m(\lambda)}{J - \lambda} C^T e_0 \right\rangle}_{(2)}. \tag{4.55}$$

Part (1) yields,

$$(1) = U_m(\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} c_{0,k} P_k^\mu(\lambda) = U_m(\lambda) p^\mu(\lambda). \tag{4.56}$$

By Theorem 3.3 with $f(\zeta) = (U_m(\zeta) - U_m(\lambda))/(\zeta - \lambda)$, part (2) yields

$$(2) = \left\langle Ce_0, (U_m(\Delta) - U_m(\lambda))(\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} e_0 \right\rangle \tag{4.57}$$

Since $Ce_0 = e_0$ and using Theorem 2.5, we further have

$$(2) = \int \frac{U_m(s) - U_m(\lambda)}{s - \lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_\Delta(s). \tag{4.58}$$

This is the μ_Δ-derivative of U_m as in Definition 2.10. We noted in equation (4.7) that $U_m^{\mu_\Delta}(\lambda) = 2U_{m-1}(\lambda)$.

Combining (1) and (2) we have as $m \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{U_m(\lambda)} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^\mu C^T e_m \right\rangle = p_C^\mu(\lambda) + 2U_{m-1}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \to p_C^\mu(\lambda) + 2z.$$

Now we compute the quantity in equation (4.51) in a different direction. By Corollary 4.10, $C^\mu = C^\mu_{\text{Toe}} + C^\mu_{\text{fin}}$, where C^μ_{fin} is zero outside the principal $(n-2) \times (2n-1)$ submatrix. Hence for m sufficiently large we have $(c_\mu)_{m,m+k} = t_k^\mu$ for a sequence $(t_k^\mu)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $t_k = 0$ for $k \notin \{1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$. The Toeplitz symbol of C^μ_{Toe} is $c_\mu(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} t_k^\mu z^k$. Hence we have for sufficiently large m

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{U_m(\lambda)} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_0(\lambda) \\ U_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, C^\mu C^T e_m \right\rangle &= \sum_{k=1-2n}^{2n-1} \langle (C^\mu)^T e_{m+k}, C^T e_m \rangle U_{m+k}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=1-2n}^{2n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} (c_\mu)_{m+k,m+j} c_{m,m+j} U_{m+k}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=1-2n}^{2n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} t_{j-k}^\mu t_j U_{m+k}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} t_i^\mu t_j U_{m+j-i}(\lambda)/U_m(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

By equation (4.46), this tends to $\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} t_i^\mu t_j z^{i-j}$ as $m \to \infty$. This is equal to $c(z^{-1})c_\mu(z)$.

Equating these two quantities gives $p^\mu(\lambda(z)) = c(z^{-1})c_\mu(z) - 2z$ as required. □

The following theorem describes Theorem 4.12 under the change of variables induced by the Joukowski map.

Theorem 4.20. *Let J be a Jacobi operator such that there exists an n such that*

$$\alpha_k = 0, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq n, \tag{4.59}$$

i.e. it is equal to the free Jacobi operator Δ outside the $n \times n$ principal submatrix. By Theorem 4.8 the connection coefficient matrix can be decomposed into $C = C_{\text{Toe}} + C_{\text{fin}}$. By Corollary 4.10, we

similarly have $C^\mu = C^\mu_{\text{Toe}} + C^\mu_{\text{fin}}$. *If* c *and* c_μ *are the Toeplitz symbols of* C_{Toe} *and* C^μ_{Toe} *respectively, then for* $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$ *with* $z \in \mathbb{D}$, *the principal resolvent* G *is given by the rational function*

$$G(\lambda(z)) = -\frac{c_\mu(z)}{c(z)}. \tag{4.60}$$

Proof. Combining Theorem 4.12, equation (4.45) and Lemmata 4.18 and 4.19, we have

$$G(\lambda(z)) = \frac{G_\Delta(\lambda(z)) - p^\mu(\lambda(z))}{p(\lambda(z))} \tag{4.61}$$

$$= \frac{-2z - (c(z^{-1})c_\mu(z) - 2z)}{c(z)c(z^{-1})} \tag{4.62}$$

$$= -\frac{c_\mu(z)}{c(z)}. \tag{4.63}$$

This completes the proof. □

The following theorem gives a better description of the weights w_k in Theorem 4.14, utilising the Joukowski map and the Toeplitz symbol c.

Theorem 4.21. *Let* J *be a Jacobi operator such that there exists an* n *such that*

$$\alpha_k = 0, \quad \beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq n, \tag{4.64}$$

i.e. it is equal to the free Jacobi operator Δ *outside the* $n \times n$ *principal submatrix. By Theorem 4.8 the connection coefficient matrix can be written* $C = C_{\text{Toe}} + C_{\text{fin}}$. *If* c *is the Toeplitz symbol of* C_{Toe}, *then the spectral measure of* J *is*

$$\mu(s) = \frac{1}{p_C(s)}\mu_\Delta(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(z_k - z_k^{-1})^2}{z_k c'(z_k) c(z_k^{-1})} \delta_{\lambda(z_k)}(s). \tag{4.65}$$

Here z_i *are the roots of* c *that lie in the open unit disk, which are all real and simple. The only roots of* c *on the unit circle are* ± 1, *which can also only be simple. Further,* $r \leq n$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.14,

$$\mu(s) = \frac{1}{p_C(s)}\mu_\Delta(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} w_k \delta_{\lambda_k}(s), \tag{4.66}$$

where $r \leq n$. Hence we just need to prove something more specific about the roots of c, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$, and $w_1, \ldots, w_r$.

By Theorem 4.20, $G(\lambda(z)) = -c_\mu(z)/c(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. By Lemma 4.19, $c(z^{-1})c_\mu(z) - 2z = p^\mu(\lambda(z)) = p^\mu(\lambda(z^{-1})) = c(z)c_\mu(z^{-1}) - 2z^{-1}$, so

$$c(z^{-1})c_\mu(z) - c(z)c_\mu(z^{-1}) = 2(z - z^{-1}). \tag{4.67}$$

Therefore $c(z)$ and $c_\mu(z)$ cannot simultaneously be zero unless $z = z^{-1}$, which only happens at $z = \pm 1$. By the same reasoning, $c(z)$ and $c(z^{-1})$ also cannot be simultaneously zero unless $z = \pm 1$. Since the Joukowski map λ is a bijection from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$, this shows that the (simple and real) poles of G in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ are precisely $\lambda(z_1), \ldots, \lambda(z_r)$, where $z_1, \ldots, z_r$ are the (necessarily simple and real) roots of c in $\mathbb{D}$.

What are the values of the weights of the Dirac deltas, $w_1, \ldots, w_r$? By Theorem 4.14,

$$\begin{aligned} w_k &= \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{i} G(\lambda(z_k) + i\epsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda(z_k)} (\lambda(z_k) - \lambda) G(\lambda) \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_k} \frac{1}{2}(z_k + z_k^{-1} - z - z^{-1})(-1)\frac{c_\mu(z)}{c(z)} \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_k} \frac{1}{2} z^{-1}(z - z_k)(z - z_k^{-1})\frac{c_\mu(z)}{c(z)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} z_k^{-1}(z_k - z_k^{-1}) c_\mu(z_k) \lim_{z \to z_k} \frac{(z - z_k)}{c(z)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} z_k^{-1}(z_k - z_k^{-1}) \frac{c_\mu(z_k)}{c'(z_k)}.$$

By equation (4.67), since $c(z_k) = 0$, we have $c_\mu(z_k) = 2(z_k - z_k^{-1})/c(z_k^{-1})$. This gives

$$w_k = \frac{(z_k - z_k^{-1})^2}{z_k c(z_k^{-1}) c'(z_k)}.$$

Note that if $c(z) = 0$ then $c(\overline{z}) = 0$ because c has real coefficients. If c has a root z_0 on the unit circle, then $c(z_0) = c(z_0^{-1}) = 0$ because $\overline{z_0} = z_0^{-1}$, which earlier in the proof we showed only occurs if $z_0 = \pm 1$. Hence c does not have roots on the unit circle except possibly ± 1. □

Example 4.22 (Basic perturbation 1 re-revisited)**.** Considering the connection coefficient matrix in equation (4.9), we see that the Toeplitz symbol c is $c(z) = 1 - \alpha z$. By Theorem 4.21 the roots of c in the unit disc correspond to eigenvalues of J_α. As is consistent with our previous considerations, c has a root in the unit disc if and only if $|\alpha| > 1$, and those eigenvalues are $\lambda(\alpha^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$. See Appendix A for figures depicting the spectral measure and the resolvent.

Example 4.23 (Basic perturbation 2 re-revisited)**.** Considering the connection coefficient matrix in equation (4.12), we see that the Toeplitz symbol c is $c(z) = \beta^{-1} + (\beta^{-1} - \beta)z^2$. By Theorem 4.21 the roots of c in the unit disc correspond to eigenvalues of J_β. The roots of c are $\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}$. If $\beta \in \left(0, \sqrt{2}\right] \setminus \{1\}$ then $\left|\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2-1}}\right| \geq 1$ so there are no roots of c in the unit disc, as is consistent with the previous observations. What was difficult to see before is, if $\beta > \sqrt{2}$ then $\left|\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2-1}}\right| < 1$, so there is a root of c inside $\mathbb{D}$, and it corresponds to an eigenvalue,

$$\lambda\left(\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}\right) = \pm\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}} + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}\right) = \pm\frac{\beta^2}{2\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}. \tag{4.68}$$

See Appendix A for figures depicting the spectral measure and the resolvent.

5. Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operators

In this section we extend the results of the previous section to the case where the Jacobi operator is Toeplitz-plus-trace-class. This cannot be done as a direct extension of the work in the previous section as the formulae obtained depended on the fact that some of the functions involved were merely polynomials in order to have a function defined for all λ in an a priori known region of the complex plane. We admit that it may be possible to perform the analysis directly, but state that it is not straightforward. We are interested in feasible (finite) computation so are content to deal directly with the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank case and perform a limiting process. The crucial question for computation is, can we approximate the spectral measure of a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator whilst reading only finitely many entries of the matrix?

Here we make clear the definition of a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator.

Definition 5.1. An operator $K : \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ is said to be trace class if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k^T (K^T K)^{1/2} e_k < \infty$. Hence we say that a Jacobi operator J such that $\alpha_k \to 0$, $\beta_k \to \frac{1}{2}$ as $k \to \infty$ is Toeplitz-plus-trace-class if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\beta_k - \frac{1}{2}\right| + |\alpha_k| < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

5.1. **Jacobi operators for Jacobi polynomials.** The most well known class of orthogonal polynomials is the Jacobi polynomials, whose measure of orthogonality is

$$\mathrm{d}\mu(s) = \left(2^{\alpha+\beta+1}B(\alpha+1,\beta+1)\right)^{-1}(1-s)^{\alpha}(1+s)^{\beta}\Big|_{s\in[-1,1]}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{5.2}$$

where α,$\beta > -1$ and B is Euler's Beta function. The Jacobi operator for the normalised Jacobi polynomials with respect to this probability measure, and hence the three term recurrence coefficients, are given by [1],

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\beta^2-\alpha^2}{(2k+\alpha+\beta)(2k+\alpha+\beta+2)} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\beta_{k-1} = 2\sqrt{\frac{k(k+\alpha)(k+\beta)(k+\alpha+\beta)}{(2k+\alpha+\beta-1)(2k+\alpha+\beta)^2(2k+\alpha+\beta+1)}} \tag{5.4}$$

Note that $|\alpha_k| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ and

$$\beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+\frac{(4-8\alpha^2-8\beta^2)k^2+\mathcal{O}(k)}{(2k+\alpha+\beta-1)(2k+\alpha+\beta)^2(2k+\alpha+\beta+1)}} = \frac{1}{2}+\mathcal{O}(k^{-2}). \tag{5.5}$$

Hence the Jacobi operators for the Jacobi polynomials are Toeplitz-plus-trace-class for all $\alpha,\beta > -1$.

The Chebyshev polynomials T_k and U_k discussed in the previous section are specific cases of Jacobi polynomials, with $\alpha,\beta = -\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}$ for T_k and $\alpha,\beta = \frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}$ for U_k.

In Appendix A numerical computations of the spectral measures and resolvents of these Jacobi operators are presented.

5.2. **Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations.** We propose to use the techniques from Section 4. Therefore for a Jacobi operator J, we can define the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations $J^{[m]}$, where

$$J^{[m]}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} J_{i,j} & \text{if } 0 \le i,j < m \\ \Delta_{i,j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

Each Jacobi operator $J^{[m]}$ has a spectral measure $\mu^{[m]}$ which can be computed using Theorem 4.21. The main question for this section is: how do the computable measures $\mu^{[m]}$ approximate the spectral measure μ of J?

Proposition 5.2. *Let J a Jacobi operator (bounded, but with no assumed structure imposed) and let μ be its spectral measure. Then the measures $\mu^{[1]},\mu^{[2]},\dots$ which are the spectral measures of $J^{[1]},J^{[2]},\dots$ converge to μ in a weak sense. Precisely,*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\int f(s)\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{[m]}(s) = \int f(s)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s), \tag{5.7}$$

for all $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Each spectral measure $\mu^{[m]}$ and μ are supported on the spectra of $J^{[m]}$ and J, each of which are contained within $[-\|J^{[m]}\|_2,\|J^{[m]}\|_2]$ and $[-\|J\|_2,\|J\|_2]$. Since $\|J^{[m]}\|_2$ and $\|J\|_2$ are less than

$$M = 3\left(\sup_{k\ge 0}|\alpha_k| + \sup_{k\ge 0}|\beta_k|\right), \tag{5.8}$$

we have that all the spectral measures involved are supported within the interval $[-M,M]$. Hence we can consider integrating functions $f \in C([-M,M])$ without ambiguity.

By Weierstrass' Theorem, polynomials are dense in $C([-M,M])$, so we only need to consider polynomials as test functions, and by linearity we only need to consider the orthogonal polynomials for J. The first polynomial P_0 has immediate convergence, since the measures are all probability measures. Now consider P_k for some $k > 0$, which satisfies $\int P_k(s)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) = 0$. For $m > k$, P_k

is also the kth orthogonal polynomial for $J^{[m]}$, hence $\int P_k(s)\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{[m]}(s) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

5.3. **Asymptotics of the connection coefficients.** Here we formulate a block operator equation $\mathcal{L}\underline{c} = e_0^0$ satisfied by the entries of the connection coefficient matrices encoded into a vector $\underline{c}$. For Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operators we give appropriate Banach spaces upon which the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded and invertible, enabling precise results about the asymptotics of the connection coefficients to be derived.

Lemma 5.3. *Let J and D be Jacobi operators with entries $\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{\gamma_k, \delta_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ respectively. If we decompose the upper triangular part of $C_{J\to D}$ into a sequence of sequences, stacking each diagonal on top of each other, we get the following block linear system,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{-1} & & & & \\ A_0 & B_0 & & & \\ B_0^T & A_1 & B_1 & & \\ & B_1^T & A_2 & B_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{*,*} \\ c_{*,*+1} \\ c_{*,*+2} \\ c_{*,*+3} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.9}$$

where for each i,

$$B_i = 2\begin{pmatrix} \beta_i & & & \\ -\delta_0 & \beta_{i+1} & & \\ & -\delta_1 & \beta_{i+2} & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i = 2\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i - \gamma_0 & & & \\ & \alpha_{i+1} - \gamma_1 & & \\ & & \alpha_{i+2} - \gamma_2 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

For B_{-1} to make sense we define $\beta_{-1} = 1/2$.

Proof. This is simply the 5-point discrete system in Lemma 3.1 rewritten. □

We write the infinite-dimensional block infinite-dimensional system (5.9) in the form,

$$\mathcal{L}\underline{c} = e_0^0. \tag{5.10}$$

For general Jacobi operators J and D, the operators A_i and B_i are well defined linear operators from $\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$. The block operator $\mathcal{L}$ is whence considered as a linear operator from the space of sequences of real sequences, $\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}(\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}})$ to itself. We will use this kind of notation for other spaces as follows.

Definition 5.4 (Vector-valued sequences)**.** If ℓ_X is a vector space of scalar-valued sequences, and Y is another vector space then we let $\ell_X(Y)$ denote the vector space of sequences of elements of Y. In many cases in which ℓ_X and Y are both normed spaces, then $\ell_X(Y)$ naturally defines a normed space in which the norm is derived from that of ℓ_X by replacing all instances of absolute value with the norm on Y. For example, $\ell^p(\ell^\infty)$ is a normed space with norm $\|(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty\|_{\ell^p(\ell^\infty)} = (\sum_{k=0}^\infty \|a_k\|_\infty^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

The following two spaces are relevant for the Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operators.

Definition 5.5 (Sequences of bounded variation)**.** Following [19, Ch. IV.2.3], denote by bv the Banach space of all sequences with bounded variation, that is sequences such that the norm

$$\|a\|_{bv} = |a_0| + \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_{k+1} - a_k|, \tag{5.11}$$

is finite.

The following result is immediate from the definition of the norm on bv.

Lemma 5.6. *There is a continuous embedding $bv \subset c$ the Banach space of convergent sequences i.e. for all $(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in bv$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k$ exists, and $\sup_k |a_k| \leq \|(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty\|_{bv}$. Furthermore, $\lim_{k\to\infty} |a_k| \leq \|a\|_{bv}$.*

Definition 5.7 (Geometrically weighted ℓ^1)**.** We define the Banach space ℓ^1_R to be the space of sequences such that the norm

$$\|v\|_{\ell^1_R} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k |v_k|, \tag{5.12}$$

is finite.

Proposition 5.8. *The operator norm on ℓ^1_R is equal to*

$$\|A\|_{\ell^1_R \to \ell^1_R} = \sup_j \sum_i R^{i-j}|a_{ij}|. \tag{5.13}$$

The following Lemma and its Corollary show that it is natural to think of $\underline{c}$ as lying in the space $\ell^1_R(bv)$.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $J = \Delta + K$ be a Jacobi operator where K is trace class and let $D = \Delta$. Then for any $R \in (0,1)$ the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in equation* (5.10) *is bounded and invertible as an operator from $\ell^1_R(bv)$ to $\ell^1_R(\ell^1)$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}^{[m]}$ is the operator in equation* (5.10) *generated by the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncation $J^{[m]}$, then*

$$\|\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)} \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. We can write $\mathcal{L}$ in equation (5.10) in the form $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{K}$ where

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T & & & & \\ 0 & T & & & \\ T^T & 0 & T & & \\ & T^T & 0 & T & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & -1 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{-1} & & & & \\ A_0 & K_0 & & & \\ K_0 & A_1 & K_1 & & \\ & K_1 & A_2 & K_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} A_i &= 2\mathrm{diag}(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots), \\ K_i &= \mathrm{diag}(2\beta_i - 1, 2\beta_{i+1} - 1, \ldots). \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

This decomposition will allow us to prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded and invertible as follows. We will show that as operators from $\ell^1_R(bv)$ to $\ell^1_R(\ell^1)$, $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded and invertible, and $\mathcal{K}$ is compact. This implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is a Fredholm operator with index 0. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible if and only if it is injective. It is indeed injective, because it is block lower triangular with invertible diagonal blocks, so forward substitution on the system $\mathcal{L}\underline{v} = \underline{0}$ implies that each entry of $\underline{v}$ must be zero.

First let us prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded and invertible. It is elementary that T is an isometric isomorphism from bv to ℓ^1 and T^T is bounded with norm at most 1. Hence using Proposition 5.8 we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)} = R^0\|T\|_{bv\to\ell^1} + R^2\|T^T\|_{bv\to\ell^1} \le 1 + R^2. \tag{5.17}$$

Because each operator is lower triangular, the left and right inverse of $\mathcal{T} : \ell_{\mathcal{F}}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}}) \to \ell_{\mathcal{F}}(\ell_{\mathcal{F}})$ is

$$\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & & & & & \\ 0 & T^{-1} & & & & \\ -T^{-1}T^TT^{-1} & 0 & T^{-1} & & & \\ 0 & -T^{-1}T^TT^{-1} & 0 & T^{-1} & & \\ T^{-1}(T^TT^{-1})^2 & 0 & -T^{-1}T^TT^{-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.18}$$

This matrix is block lower triangular and block Toeplitz with first column having $2i$th block of the form $T^{-1}(-T^TT^{-1})^i$ and $(2i+1)$th block zero. We must check that this matrix is bounded in

the norms on $\ell^1_R(\ell^1)$ to $\ell^1_R(bv)$ so that it may be extended to those spaces from $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$. Again using Proposition 5.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{T}^{-1}\|_{\ell^1_R(\ell^1)\to\ell^1_R(bv)} &= \sup_j \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} R^{2(i-j)}\|T^{-1}(-T^TT^{-1})^{i-j}\|_{\ell^1\to bv}\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{2k}\|T^{-1}(-T^TT^{-1})^{k}\|_{\ell^1\to bv}\\ &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{2k}\|T^{-1}\|_{\ell^1\to bv}\left(\|T^T\|_{bv\to\ell^1}\|T^{-1}\|_{\ell^1\to bv}\right)^k\\ &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{2k} = (1-R^2)^{-1} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Now let us prove that $\mathcal{K}:\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)$ is compact. Consider the finite rank operator $\mathcal{K}^{[m]}$, where all elements are the same as in $\mathcal{K}$, except that all occurrences of α_i and $2\beta_i-1$ are replaced by 0 for $i\ge m$. Using Proposition 5.8 we have

(5.19)
$$\|\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)} = \sup_j R^0\|K_{j-1}-K^{[m]}_{j-1}\|_{bv\to\ell^1}+R^1\|A_j-A^{[m]}_j\|_{bv\to\ell^1}+R^2\|K_j-K^{[m]}_j\|_{bv\to\ell^1}.$$

By the continuous embedding in Lemma 5.6, $\|\cdot\|_{bv\to\ell^1}\le\|\cdot\|_{\ell^\infty\to\ell^1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)} &\le \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} R^0|2\beta_{k-1}-1|+R^1|\alpha_k|+R^2|2\beta_k-1|\\ &\to 0 \text{ as } m\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{K}$ is a norm limit of finite rank operators it is compact. This completes the proof that $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded and invertible.

Now consider the operator $\mathcal{L}^{[m]}$, which is equal to $\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{K}^{[m]}$ (where $\mathcal{K}^{[m]}$ is precisely that which was considered whilst proving $\mathcal{K}$ is compact). Hence,

$$\|\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)} = \|\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)\to\ell^1_R(\ell^1)} \to 0 \text{ as } m\to\infty. \tag{5.20}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.10. *Let $J=\Delta+K$ be a Jacobi operator where K is trace class and let $\underline{c}\in\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}(\ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}})$ be the vector of diagonals of $C_{J\to\Delta}$ as in equation* (5.10)*. Then $\underline{c}\in\ell^1_R(bv)$. If J has Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations $J^{[m]}$ and $\underline{c}^{[m]}$ denotes the vector of diagonals of $C^{[m]}$, then*

$$\|\underline{c}-\underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)}\to 0 \text{ as } m\to\infty. \tag{5.21}$$

Proof. By equation (5.10)

$$\underline{c}-\underline{c}^{[m]} = (\mathcal{L}^{-1}-(\mathcal{L}^{[m]})^{-1})e^0_0. \tag{5.22}$$

Since $\|e^0_0\|_{\ell^1_R(\ell^1)}=1$, the proof is completed if we show $\|\mathcal{L}^{-1}-(\mathcal{L}^{[m]})^{-1}\|_{\ell^1_R(\ell^1)\to\ell^1_R(bv)}\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$.

Suppose that m is sufficiently large so that $\|\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L}^{[m]}\|<\|\mathcal{L}^{-1}\|^{-1}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is bounded by the Inverse Mapping Theorem and Lemma 5.9. Then by a well-known result (see for example, [4]),

$$\|\mathcal{L}^{-1}-(\mathcal{L}^{[m]})^{-1}\| \le \frac{\|\mathcal{L}^{-1}\|^2\|\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L}^{[m]}\|}{1-\|\mathcal{L}^{-1}\|\|\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L}^{[m]}\|}. \tag{5.23}$$

This tends to zero as $m\to\infty$, by Lemma 5.9. □

Theorem 5.11. *Let $J=\Delta+K$ be a Jacobi operator where K is trace class. Then $C=C_{J\to\Delta}$ can be decomposed into*

$$C = C_{\text{Toe}}+C_{\text{com}}, \tag{5.24}$$

where C_{Toe} is upper triangular, Toeplitz and bounded as an operator from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ to $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$, and C_{com} is compact as an operator from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ to $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$, for all $R \in (0,1)$. Also, if J has Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations $J^{[m]}$ with connection coefficient matrices $C^{[m]} = C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{Toe}} + C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{com}}$, then

$$C^{[m]} \to C, \quad C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{Toe}} \to C_{\mathrm{Toe}}, \quad C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{com}} \to C_{\mathrm{com}} \text{ as } m \to \infty, \tag{5.25}$$

in the operator norm topology over $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.9, for each k the sequence $(c_{0,0+k}, c_{1,1+k}, c_{2,2+k}, \ldots)$ is an element of bv. By Lemma 5.6 each is therefore a convergent sequence, whose limits we call t_k. Hence we can define an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix C_{Toe} whose (i,j)th element is t_{j-i}, and define $C_{\mathrm{com}} = C - C_{\mathrm{Toe}}$.

The Toeplitz matrix C_{Toe} is bounded from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ to $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ by the following calculation.

$$\|C_{\mathrm{Toe}}\|_{\ell^1_{R^{-1}} \to \ell^1_{R^{-1}}} = \sup_j \sum_{i=0}^{j} R^{j-i} |t_{j-i}| \tag{5.26}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k |t_k| \tag{5.27}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^k \|c_{*,*+k}\|_{bv} \tag{5.28}$$

$$= \|\underline{c}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)}. \tag{5.29}$$

By Lemma 5.9 this quantity is finite.

Now we show convergence results. The compactness of C_{com} will follow at the end.

$$\|C - C^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_{R^{-1}} \to \ell^1_{R^{-1}}} = \sup_j \sum_{i=0}^{j} R^{j-i} |c_{i,j} - c^{[m]}_{i,j}| \tag{5.30}$$

$$= \sup_j \sum_{k=0}^{j} R^{k} |c_{j-k,j} - c^{[m]}_{j-k,j}| \tag{5.31}$$

$$\leq \sup_j \sum_{k=0}^{j} R^{k} \|c_{*,*+k} - c^{[m]}_{*,*+k}\|_{bv} \tag{5.32}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{k} \|c_{*,*+k} - c^{[m]}_{*,*+k}\|_{bv} \tag{5.33}$$

$$= \|\underline{c} - \underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)}. \tag{5.34}$$

For the third line, note that for fixed k, $c_{0,k} - c^{[m]}_{0,k}, c_{1,1+k} - c^{[m]}_{1,1+k}, c_{2,2+k} - c^{[m]}_{2,2+k}, \ldots$ is a bv sequence, and refer to Lemma 5.6.

$$\|C_{\mathrm{Toe}} - C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{Toe}}\|_{\ell^1_{R^{-1}} \to \ell^1_{R^{-1}}} = \sup_j \sum_{i=0}^{j} R^{j-i} |t_{j-i} - t^{[m]}_{j-i}| \tag{5.35}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{j} R^{k} |t_k - t^{[m]}_k| \tag{5.36}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{k} \|c_{*,*+k} - c^{[m]}_{*,*+k}\|_{bv} \tag{5.37}$$

$$= \|\underline{c} - \underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)}. \tag{5.38}$$

For the third line, note that $t_k - t^{[m]}_k$ is the limit of the bv sequence $c_{*,*+k} - c^{[m]}_{*,*+k}$, and refer Lemma 5.6.

$$\|C_{\mathrm{com}} - C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{com}}\|_{\ell^1_{R^{-1}} \to \ell^1_{R^{-1}}} \leq \|C - C^{[m]}\| + \|C_{\mathrm{Toe}} - C^{[m]}_{\mathrm{Toe}}\| \leq 2\|\underline{c} - \underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)}. \tag{5.39}$$

Using Corollary 5.10, that $\|\underline{c} - \underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)} \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, we have the convergence results.

By Theorem 4.8, $C^{[m]}_{\text{com}}$ has finite rank. Therefore, since $C_{\text{com}} = \lim_{m\to\infty} C^{[m]}_{\text{com}}$ in the operator norm topology over $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$, we have that C_{com} is compact in that topology. □

Remark 5.12. The transposed matrices C^T_{Toe} and C^T_{com} are bounded and compact (respectively) as operators from ℓ^1_R to ℓ^1_R.

Corollary 5.13. *Let C^μ be as defined in Definition 3.12 for C as in Theorem 5.11. Then C^μ can be decomposed into $C^\mu = C^\mu_{\text{Toe}} + C^\mu_{\text{com}}$ where C^μ_{Toe} is upper triangular, Toeplitz and bounded as an operator from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ to $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$, and C^μ_{com} is compact as an operator from $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$ to $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$, for all $R \in (0,1)$. Furthermore, if J has Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations $J^{[m]}$ with connection coefficient matrices $(C^\mu)^{[m]} = (C^\mu_{\text{Toe}})^{[m]} + (C^\mu_{\text{com}})^{[m]}$, then*

$$(C^\mu)^{[m]} \to C^\mu, \quad (C^\mu_{\text{Toe}})^{[m]} \to C^\mu_{\text{Toe}}, \quad (C^\mu_{\text{com}})^{[m]} \to C^\mu_{\text{com}} \text{ as } m \to \infty, \tag{5.40}$$

in the operator norm topology over $\ell^1_{R^{-1}}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.11 applied to J^μ as defined in Lemma 3.14. □

Theorem 5.14. *Let J be a Jacobi operator such that $J = \Delta + K$ where K is trace class. The Toeplitz symbols c and c_μ of the Toeplitz parts of $C_{J\to\Delta}$ and $C^\mu_{J\to\Delta}$ are both analytic in the unit disc. Furthermore, if J has Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations $J^{[m]}$ with Toeplitz symbols $c^{[m]}$ and $c^{[m]}_\mu$, then $c^{[m]} \to c$ and $c^{[m]}_\mu \to c_\mu$ as $m \to \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. Let $R \in (0,1)$ and let $0 \leq |z| \leq R < 1$. Then by Lemma 5.6 we have

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^\infty t_k z^k\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty |t_k| R^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|c_{*,*+k}\|_{bv} R^k = \|\underline{c}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)},$$

where $\underline{c}$ is as defined in equation (5.10). By Lemma 5.9 this quantity is finite. Since R is arbitrary, the radius of convergence of the series is 1. The same is true for c_μ by Lemma 3.14.

Now we prove that the Toeplitz symbols corresponding to the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations converge.

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{|z|\leq R} |c(z) - c^{[m]}(z)| &= \sup_{|z|\leq R} \left|\sum_{k=0}^\infty (t_k - t^{[m]}_k) z^k\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty |t_k - t^{[m]}_k| R^k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|c_{*,*+k} - c^{[m]}_{*,*+k}\|_{bv} R^k = \|\underline{c} - \underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)},\end{aligned}$$

To go between the first and second lines, note that for each k, $c_{*,*+k} - c^{[m]}_{*,*+k}$ is a bv sequence whose limit is $t_k - t^{[m]}_k$ and refer to Lemma 5.6. Now, $\|\underline{c} - \underline{c}^{[m]}\|_{\ell^1_R(bv)} \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ by Corollary 5.10. The same is true for $\sup_{|z|\leq R} |c_\mu(z) - c^{[m]}_\mu(z)|$ by Lemma 3.14. □

Theorem 5.15 (See [27])**.** *Let A and B be bounded self-adjoint operators on ℓ^2. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \|A - B\|_2. \tag{5.41}$$

Theorem 5.16. *Let $J = \Delta + K$ be a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator, and let c and c_μ be the analytic functions as defined in Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 5.13. Then for $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$ with $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\lambda(z) \notin \sigma(J)$, the principal resolvent G is given by the meromorphic function*

$$G(\lambda(z)) = -\frac{c_\mu(z)}{c(z)}. \tag{5.42}$$

Therefore, all eigenvalues of J are of the form $\lambda(z_k)$, where z_k is a root of c in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\lambda(z) \notin \sigma(J)$, and let $J^{[m]}$ denote the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations of J with principal resolvents $G^{[m]}$. Then $J^{[m]} \to J$ as $m \to \infty$, so by Theorem 5.15 there exists M such that for all $m \geq M$, $\lambda(z) \notin \sigma(J^{[m]})$. For such m, both $G(\lambda(z))$ and $G^{[m]}(\lambda(z))$ are well defined, and using a well-known result on the difference of inverses (see for example, [4]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{[m]}(\lambda) - G(\lambda) &= \left\langle e_0, \left((J^{[m]} - \lambda)^{-1} - (J - \lambda)^{-1} \right) e_0 \right\rangle \\ &\leq \|(J^{[m]} - \lambda)^{-1} - (J - \lambda)^{-1}\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|(J - \lambda)^{-1}\|_2^2 \|J - J^{[m]}\|_2}{1 - \|(J - \lambda)^{-1}\|_2 \|J - J^{[m]}\|_2} \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.14 shows that $\lim_{m\to\infty} c_\mu^{[m]}(z)/c^{[m]}(z) = c_\mu(z)/c(z)$. Therefore by Theorem 4.20 these limits are the same and we have equation (5.42). □

6. Computability aspects

In this section we discuss computability questions à la Ben-Artzi–Hansen–Nevanlinna–Seidel [6, 7, 25]. This involves an informal definition of the Solvability Complexity Index (SCI), a recent development that rigorously describes the extent to which various scientific computing problems can be solved. It is in contrast to classical computability theory à la Turing, in which problems are solvable *exactly* in finite time. In scientific computing we are often interested in problems which we can only *approximate* the solution in finite time, such that in an ideal situation this approximation can be made as accurate as desired. For example, the solution to a differential equation, the roots of a polynomial, or the spectrum of a linear operator.

Throughout this section we will consider only real number arithmetic, and the results do not necessarily apply to algorithms using floating point arithmetic.

The following is a modified definition of the Solvability Complexity Index (SCI). It is slightly stronger than the Ben-Artzi–Hansen–Nevanlinna–Seidel definition, which can be found in [6]; we do this to avoid a lengthy self-contained account of the theory where this simpler but stronger definition suffices for our purposes.

Definition 6.1. [(Strong) Solvability Complexity Index] A function Γ which takes inputs to elements in a metric space $\mathcal{M}$ has Solvability Complexity Index at most k if for each $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a Turing computable function $\Gamma_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$ taking inputs to elements of $\mathcal{M}$, such that the function output for input A is equal to the $\mathcal{M}$-limit

$$\Gamma(A) = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} \lim_{n_{k-1} \to \infty} \cdots \lim_{n_1 \to \infty} \Gamma_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A). \tag{6.1}$$

In other words, the output of Γ can be computed using a sequence of k limits.

Remark 6.2. The requirement we use here that the functions $\Gamma_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$ are Turing computable is much stronger than what is used in the formal setting of [6], where the authors merely assume that these functions $\Gamma_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$ depend only on, and are determined by, finitely many evaluable elements of the input datum. Informally, this suffices for our needs because we want to prove *positive* results about computability in this paper; we prove our problem is computable in this stronger regime and that implies computability in the weaker regime of [6].

We require a metric space for the SCI:

Definition 6.3. The Hausdorff metric for two compact subsets of the complex plane A and B is defined to be

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \operatorname{dist}(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} \operatorname{dist}(b, A) \right\}. \tag{6.2}$$

If a sequence of sets $A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots$ converges to A in the Hausdorff metric, we write $A_n \xrightarrow{H} A$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 6.4 ([6])**.** *The Solvability Complexity Index of the problem of computing the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ is equal to 2 with respect to the Hausdorff metric on $\mathbb{R}$. For compact operators and banded self-adjoint operators the SCI reduces to 1.*

Theorem 6.4 implies that the SCI of computing the spectrum of bounded Jacobi operators in the Hausdorff metric is 1. In loose terms, the problem is solvable using only one limit of computable outputs. What more can we prove about the computability?

The results of Section 4 reduce the computation of the spectrum of a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operator to finding the roots of a polynomial. From an uninformed position, one is lead to believe that polynomial rootfinding is a solved problem, with many standard approaches used every day. One common method is to use the QR algorithm to find the eigenvalues of the companion matrix for the polynomial. This can be done stably and efficiently in practice [5]. However, the QR algorithm is not necessarily convergent for non-normal matrices. Fortunately, the SCI of polynomial rootfinding with respect to the Hausdorff metric in for subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ is 1, but if you require the multiplicities of these roots then the SCI is not yet known [6].

A globally convergent polynomial rootfinding algorithm is given in [26]. For any degree d polynomial the authors describe a procedure guaranteed to compute fewer than $1.11d(\log d)^2$ points in the complex plane, such that for each root of the polynomial, a Newton iteration starting from at least one of these points will converge to this root.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. If a polynomial p of degree d has r roots, how do we know when to stop so that we have r points in the complex plane each within ϵ of a distinct root of p? This leads us to the concept of error control.

Definition 6.5. [Error control] A function Γ which takes inputs to elements in a metric space $\mathcal{M}$ is computable with error control if it has solvability complexity index 1, and for each ϵ we can compute n to guarantee that

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma_n(A), \Gamma(A)) < \epsilon. \tag{6.3}$$

In other words, the output of Γ can be computed using a single limit, and an upper bound for the error committed by each Γ_n is known.

Besides providing $\mathcal{O}(d(\log d)^2)$ initial data for the Newton iteration (to find the complex roots of a degree d polynomial), the authors of [26] discuss stopping criteria. In Section 9 of [26], it is noted therein that for Newton iterates $z_1, z_2, \ldots$, if $|z_k - z_{k-1}| < \epsilon/d$, then there exists a root ξ of the polynomial in question such that $|z_k - \xi| < \epsilon$. It is then noted, however, that if there are multiple roots then it is in general impossible to compute their multiplicities with complete certainty. This is because the Newton iterates can pass arbitrarily close to a root to which this iterate does not, in the end, converge. Another consequence of this possibility is that roots could be missed out altogether because all of the iterates can be found to be close to a strict subset of the roots.

To salvage the situation, we give the following lemma, which adds some assumptions to the polynomial in question.

Lemma 6.6. *Let p be a polynomial and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ an open set such that, a priori, the degree d is known and it is known that there are r distinct roots of p in Ω and no roots on the boundary of Ω. Then the roots of p in Ω is computable with error control in the Hausdorff metric (see Definition 6.3 and Definition 6.5).*

Proof. Use Newton's method with the $\mathcal{O}(d(\log d)^2)$ complex initial data given in [26]. Using the stopping criteria in the discussion preceding this lemma, the algorithm at each iteration produces $\mathcal{O}(d(\log d)^2)$ discs in the complex plane, within which all roots of p must lie. Let $R_k \subset \Omega$ denote the union of the discs which lie entirely inside Ω, with radius less than ϵ (the desired error).

Because the Newton iterations are guaranteed to converge from these initial data, we must have (for some sufficiently large k) that R_k has r connected components each with diameter less than ϵ. Terminate when this verifiable condition has been fulfilled. □

Theorem 6.7. *Let $J = \Delta + F$ be a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operator such that the rank of F is known a priori. Then its point spectrum $\sigma_p(J)$ is computable with error control in the Hausdorff metric (see Definition 6.3 and Definition 6.5).*

Remark 6.8. Note that the full spectrum is simply $[-1, 1] \cup \sigma_p(J)$.

Proof. Suppose F is zero outside the $n \times n$ principal submatrix. The value of n can be computed given that we know the rank of F. Compute the principal $2n \times 2n$ submatrix of the connection coefficients matrix $C_{J\to\Delta}$ using formulae (3.9)–(3.13). The entries in the final column of this $2n \times 2n$ matrix give the coefficients of the Toeplitz symbol c, which is a degree $2n-1$ polynomial.

Decide if ± 1 are roots by evaluating $p(\pm 1)$. Divide by the linear factors if necessary to obtain a polynomial $\tilde{p}$ such that $\tilde{p}(\pm 1) \neq 0$. Noting that all roots inside $(-1, 1)$ are simple (although the roots outside are not necessarily), use Sturm's Theorem to determine the number of roots of $\tilde{p}$ in $(-1, 1)$, which we denote r [33]. Since all roots in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ are real, there are r roots of $\tilde{p}$ in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ and none on the boundary.

By Lemma 6.6, the roots $z_1, \ldots, z_r$ of this polynomial c which lie in $(-1, 1)$ can be computed with error control. By Theorem 4.21, for the point spectrum of J we actually require $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{2}(z_k + z_k^{-1})$ to be computed with error control. Note that since $|\lambda_k| \leq \|J\|_2$ for each k, we have that $|z_k| \geq (1 + 2\|J\|_2)^{-1}$. We should ensure that this holds for the computed roots $\hat{z}_k \in \mathbb{D}$ too. By the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda(z_k) - \lambda(\hat{z}_k)| &\leq \sup_{|z|\geq(1+2\|J\|_2)^{-1}} |\lambda'(z)||z_k - \hat{z}_k| \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left((1 + 2\|J\|_2)^2 - 1\right)|z_k - \hat{z}_k| \\
&= 2\|J\|_2(1 + \|J\|_2)|z_k - \hat{z}_k| \\
&\leq 2(1 + \|F\|_2)(2 + \|F\|_2)|z_k - \hat{z}_k|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it suffices to compute $\hat{z}_k$ such that $|z_k - \hat{z}_k| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}(1 + \|F\|_2)^{-1}(2 + \|F\|_2)^{-1}$, where ϵ is the desired error in the eigenvalues. □

Theorem 6.9. *Let $J = \Delta + K$ be a Toeplitz-plus-compact Jacobi operator. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ an integer m can be computed such that*

$$\sup_{k\geq m} |\alpha_k| + \sup_{k\geq m} \left|\beta_k - \frac{1}{2}\right| < \epsilon, \tag{6.4}$$

then the spectrum can be computed with error control in the Hausdorff metric.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the oracle assumed in the statement of the theorem, compute m such that

$$\sup_{k\geq m} |\alpha_k| + \sup_{k\geq m} \left|\beta_k - \frac{1}{2}\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}. \tag{6.5}$$

Now compute the point spectrum of Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncation $J^{[m]}$ such that $d_H(\Sigma, \sigma(J^{[m]})) < \epsilon/2$, where Σ denotes the computed set. Then, using Theorem 5.15, we have

$$d_H(\Sigma, \sigma(J)) \leq d_H(\Sigma, \sigma(J^{[m]})) + d_H(\sigma(J^{[m]}), \sigma(J)) \tag{6.6}$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \|J^{[m]} - J\|_2 \tag{6.7}$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 3\frac{\epsilon}{6} \tag{6.8}$$

$$= \epsilon. \tag{6.9}$$

Here we used the fact that for a self-adjoint tridiagonal operator A, $\|A\|_2 \leq 3(\sup_{k\geq 0} |a_{k,k}| + \sup_{k\geq 0} |a_{k,k+1}|)$. This completes the proof. □

7. Conclusions

In this paper we have proven new results about the relationship between the connection coefficients matrix between two different families of orthonormal polynomials, and the spectral theory of their associated Jacobi operators. We specialised the discussion to finite-rank perturbations of the free Jacobi operator and demonstrated explicit formulas for the principal resolvent and the spectral measure in terms of entries of the connection coefficients matrix. We showed that the results extend to trace class perturbations. Finally, we discussed computability aspects of the spectra of Toeplitz-plus-compact Jacobi operators. We showed that the spectrum of a Toeplitz-plus-compact Jacobi operator can be computed with error control, as long as the tail of the coefficients can be suitably estimated.

There are some immediate questions. Regarding regularity properties of the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$ between the spectral measures ν and μ of Jacobi operators D and J respectively given in Propositions 3.5 and 3.8 and Corollary 3.9: can weaker regularity of $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$ be related to weak properties of $C = C_{J\to D}$? For example, the present authors conjecture that the Kullbeck–Leibler divergence,

$$K(\mu|\nu) = \begin{cases} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s) \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(s)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(s) & \text{if } \nu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \mu \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

is finite if and only if the function of operators, $C^T C \log(C^T C)$ is well-defined as an operator mapping $\ell_{\mathcal{F}} \to \ell^\star_{\mathcal{F}}$. The reasoning comes from Lemma 3.7. Making such statements more precise for the case where $D = \Gamma$ or $D = \Delta$ (see equation (4.1)) could give greater insight into Szégo and quasi-Szégo asymptotics (respectively) for orthogonal polynomials [20, 12, 28].

Regarding computability: is there a theorem that covers the ground between Theorem 6.7 (for Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operators) and Theorem 6.9 (for Toeplitz-plus-compact Jacobi operators)? What can be said about the convergence of the continuous part of the spectral measure of a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations of a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacob operator? Proposition 5.2 implies that this convergence is at least weak in the probabilists' sense.

The computability theorems in Section 6 all assume real arithmetic. What can be said about floating point arithmetic? Under what situations can the computation fail to give an unambiguously accurate solution? Answering this question is related to the mathematical problem of stability of the spectral measure under small perturbations of the Jacobi operator.

This paper also opens some broader avenues for future research. The connection coefficient matrix can be defined for any two Jacobi operators J and D. It is natural to explore what structure $C_{J\to D}$ has when D is a different reference operator to Δ, and J is a finite rank, trace class, or compact perturbation of D. For example, do perturbations of the Jacobi operator with periodic entries [10, 23] have structured connection coefficient matrices? Beyond periodic Jacobi operators, it would be interesting from the viewpoint of ergodic theory if we could facilitate the study and computation of almost-periodic Jacobi operators, such as the discrete almost-Mathieu operator [15]. Perturbations of the Jacobi operators for Laguerre polynomials and the Hermite polynomials could also be of interest, but challenges associated with the unboundedness of these operators could hamper progress [1]. Discrete Schrödinger operators with non-decaying potentials will also be of interest in this direction.

Spectra of banded self-adjoint operators may be accessible with these types of techniques too. Either using connection coefficient matrices between matrix orthogonal polynomials [11], or developing tridiagonalisation techniques are possible approaches, but the authors also consider this nothing more than conjecture at present. The multiplicity of the spectrum for operators with bandwidth greater than 1 appears to be a major challenge here.

Lower Hessenberg operators define polynomials orthogonal with respect to Sobolev inner products [21, pp. 40–43]. Therefore, we have two families of (Sobolev) orthogonal polynomials with which we may define connection coefficient matrices, as discussed in [24, p. 77]. Whether the connection coefficient matrices (which are still upper triangular) have structure which can be exploited for studying and computing the spectra of lower Hessenberg operators is yet to be studied.

Besides spectra of *discrete* operators defined on ℓ^2, we conjecture that the results of this paper will also be applicable to continuous Schrödinger operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which are of the form $L_V[\phi](x) = -\phi''(x) + V(x)\phi(x)$ for a potential function $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. The reference operator is the negative Laplacian L_0 (which is the "free" Schrödinger operator). In this scenario, whereas the entries of a discrete connection coefficient matrix satisfy a discrete second order recurrence relation on $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ (see Lemma 3.1), the continuous analogue of the connection coefficient operator $C_{L_V \to L_0}$ is an integral operator whose (distributional) kernel satisfies a second order PDE on $\mathbb{R}^2$. This conjecture will be explored by the present authors in the future.

Appendix A. Numerical results and the *SpectralMeasures* package

In this appendix we demonstrate some of the features of the Julia package that present authors have written to implement the ideas in the paper. The package is called *SpectralMeasures* and is part of the *JuliaApproximation* project, whose main package is *ApproxFun*. *ApproxFun* is an extensive piece of software influenced by the Chebfun package [17] in Matlab, which can represent functions and operators [32, 31]. All of the packages are open source and available to download at `http://www.github.com/JuliaApproximation`. The code is subject to frequent changes and updates.

Given a Jacobi operator J which is a finite-rank perturbation of the free Jacobi operator Δ, there are four things from this paper which we would like to compute. Let n be an integer such that $\alpha_k = 0$, $\beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $k \geq n$.

(i) The connection coefficients matrix $C_{J\to\Delta}$: This is computed using the recurrences in equation (3.9)–(3.9). By Theorem 4.8, we only need to compute $n(n+1)$ entries of C to have complete knowledge of all entries. In *SpectralMeasures*, there is a type of operator called `PertToeplitz`, which allows such an operator to be stored and manipulated as if it were the full infinite-dimensional operator.

(ii) The spectral measure $\mu(s)$: By Theorem 4.14, this measure has the form

$$\mathrm{d}\mu(s) = \frac{1}{p_C(s)} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-s^2}\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{k=1}^{r} w_k \delta_{\lambda_k}(s),$$

where p_C is the polynomial given by the computable formula $p_C(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \langle e_k, CC^T e_0\rangle U_k(s)$ and $r \leq n$. By Theorem 4.21, the numbers λ_k are found by finding the distinct real roots z_k of c (the Toeplitz symbol of the Toeplitz part of C, which here is a polynomial of degree $2n-1$) in the interval $(-1, 1)$. Also by Theorem 4.21, the weights w_k can be computed using the formula

$$w_k = \frac{1}{2} z_k^{-1}(z_k - z_k^{-1}) \frac{c_\mu(z_k)}{c'(z_k)}.$$

(iii) The principal resolvent $G(\lambda)$: For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J)$, by Theorem 4.12, this function can be defined by the formula

$$G(\lambda) = \frac{G_\Delta(\lambda) - p_C^\mu(\lambda)}{p_C(\lambda)},$$

where p_C is as above and $p_C^\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \langle e_k, C^\mu C^T e_0\rangle U_k(\lambda)$.

(iv) The mapped principal resolvent $G(\lambda(z))$: which is the principal resolvent mapped to z in the unit disc by the Joukowski map $\lambda : z \to \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$. This is computed using the simple formula from Theorem 4.20,

$$G(\lambda(z)) = -\frac{c_\mu(z)}{c(z)},$$

where c and c_μ are the Toeplitz symbols of the Toeplitz parts of C and C^μ respectively (these are polynomials of degree $2n-1$ and $2n-2$ respectively).

Consider a concrete example of a Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operator,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & & & \\ & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.1}$$

The connection coefficients operator $C = C_{J\to\Delta}$ is

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} & \frac{49}{24} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{3} & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & \frac{41}{24} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{3} & \\ & & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & \frac{41}{24} & -\frac{1}{12} & \ddots \\ & & & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & \frac{41}{24} & \ddots \\ & & & & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & \ddots \\ & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.2}$$

As was noted above, we only need to explicitly compute $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ entries and the rest are defined to be equal to the entry above and left one space. Because the perturbation is rational, the connection coefficients operator can be calculated exactly using the `Rational` type.

In Figure 3 we present plots of the spectral measure, the principal resolvent and the mapped principal resolvent. This format of figure is repeated for other Jacobi operators in the appendix.

The plot on left in Figure 3 is the spectral measure. There is a continuous part supported in the interval $[-1, 1]$ and Dirac deltas are represented by vertical lines whose heights are precisely their weights. As noted in Theorem 6.7, it is possible to compute the eigenvalues of J with guaranteed error control. Computations with guaranteed error control are made quite straightforward and flexible using the *ValidatedNumerics.jl* package [8], in which computations are conducted using interval arithmetic, and the desired solution is rigorously guaranteed to lie within the interval the algorithm gives the user [37]. Using this open source Julia package, we can compute the two eigenvalues for this operator to be -1.1734766767874558 and 1.5795946563898884 with a guaranteed[3] maximum error of 8.9×10^{-16}. This can be replicated using the command `validated_spectrum([.75;-.25;.5],[1;.75])` in *SpectralMeasures*.

The coloured plots in the middle and the right of Figure 3 are *Wegert plots* (sometimes called phase portraits) [42, 29]. For a function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, a Wegert plot assigns a colour to every point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by the argument of $f(z)$. Specifically, if $f(z) = re^{i\theta}$, then $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the colour red, then cycles upwards through yellow, green, blue, purple as θ increases until at $\theta = 2\pi$ it returns to red. This makes zeros and poles very easy to see, because around them the argument cycles through all the colours the same number of times as the degree of the root or pole. In these particular Wegert plots, we also plot lines of constant modulus as shadowed steps.

The middle plot in Figure 3 is the principal resolvent $G(\lambda)$, which always has a branch cut along the interval $[-1, 1]$ and roots and poles along the real line. The poles correspond to Dirac delta measures in the spectral measure.

The third plot is the principal resolvent of J mapped to the unit disc by the Joukowski map. Poles and roots of this resolvent in the unit disc correspond to those of the middle plot outside $[-1, 1]$.

In Figure 4 we have plotted the spectral measure and principal resolvent of the Basic Perturbation 1 (see Examples 4.2, 4.16, 4.22) in which the top-left entry of the operator has been set to $\alpha/2$ for values $\alpha = 0, 0.15, 0.35, 0.5, 0.75, 1$. For the first four cases, the perturbation from the free Jacobi operator is small, and so the spectrum is purely continuous, which corresponds to no poles in the principal resolvent, and in the mapped resolvent there are only poles *outside* the unit disc.

[3]While the underlying algorithms are rigorous, their implementation in the *SpectralMeasures.jl* and *ValidedNumerics.jl* packages have not been verified rigorously, so the calculations in this appendix should not be taken as rigorous statements.



FIGURE 3. The left plot is the spectral measure $\mu(s)$ of the Jacobi operator in equation (A.1). The middle plot is a Wegert plot (explained in the text above) depicting the principal resolvent of the same Jacobi operator, and the right plot is the principal resolvent under the Joukowski mapping. The two Dirac deltas in the spectral measure correspond to two poles along the real line for the middle plot and two poles inside the unit disc for the right plot.

For the cases $\alpha = 0.75, 1$, the Jacobi operator has a single isolated point of discrete spectrum. This is manifested as a Dirac delta in the spectral measure and a single pole in the principal resolvent.

In Figure 5 we have plotted the spectral measure and principal resolvent of the Basic Perturbation 2 (see Examples 4.3, 4.17, 4.23) in which the $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ entries have been set to $\beta/2$ for values $\beta = 0.5, 0.707, 0.85, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5$. The effect is similar to that observed in Figure 4. For small perturbations the spectrum remains purely continuous, but for larger perturbations here two discrete eigenvalues emerge corresponding to Dirac deltas in the spectral measure and poles in the principal resolvent.

In Figure 6 we have plotted a sequence of approximations to the Jacobi operator for the Legendre polynomials, which has entries $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and

$$\beta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4k^2 - 1}}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$$

This is a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator because $\beta_k = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$, and by taking Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank approximations $J^{[n]}$ as in equation (5.6), we can compute approximations to the spectral measure and principal resolvent. Figure 6 depicts the spectral measure and the principal resolvent for the Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank Jacobi operators $J^{[n]}$ for the values $n = 1, 2, 3, 10, 30, 100$. For the spectral measures, we see that there is no discrete part for any n, and as n increases, the spectral measure converges to the scaled Lebesgue measure $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}s$ restricted to $[-1, 1]$. The convergence is at least weak by Proposition 5.2, but it would be interesting (as mentioned in the conclusions) to determine if there is a stronger form of convergence at play due to the perturbation of Δ lying in the space of trace class operators. There is a Gibbs effect occurring at the boundaries, which suggests that this convergence occur pointwise everywhere up to the boundary of $[-1, 1]$. For the principal resolvents, the middle plots do not vary greatly, as the difference between the functions in the complex plane is not major. However, in right plots, there are hidden pole-root pairs in the resolvent lying outside the unit disc which coalesce around the unit disc and form a barrier. The meaning of this barrier is unknown to the authors.

Figures 7 and 8 demonstrate similar features to Figure 6, except that the polynomials sequences they correspond to are the ultraspherical polynomials with parameter $\gamma = 0.6$ (so that the spectral measure is proportional to $(1 - s^2)^{1.1}$) and the Jacobi polynomials with parameters $(\alpha, \beta) = (0.4, 1.9)$ (so that the spectral measure is proportional to $(1 - s)^{0.4}(1 + s)^{1.9}$). Similar barriers of pole-root pairs outside the unit disc occur for these examples as well.

Figure 9 presents a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator with pseudo-randomly generated entries. With a random vector $\mathbf{r}$ containing entries uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1)$, the following entries were used

$$\alpha_k = 3\frac{2r_k - 1}{(k+1)^2}, \qquad \beta_k = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then Toeplitz-plus-finite-rank truncations $J^{[n]}$ (see equation (5.6)) of this operator were taken for values $n = 1, 2, 3, 10, 50, 100$. Since the off-diagonal elements are constant, this is a scaled and shifted version of a discrete Schrödinger operator with a random, decaying potential.

References

1. *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, http://dlmf.nist.gov/, Release 1.0.14 of 2016-12-21, Olver, F.W.J., Olde Daalhuis, A.B., Lozier, D.W., Schneider, B.I., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W., Miller, B. R. and Saunders, B.V., eds.
2. N.I. Akhiezer and N. Kemmer, *The Classical Moment Problem: and Some Related Questions in Analysis*, vol. 5, Oliver & Boyd Edinb., 1965.
3. R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, SIAM, 1975.
4. K. Atkinson and W. Han, *Theoretical Numerical Analysis*, vol. 39, Springer, 2005.
5. J.L. Aurentz, T. Mach, R. Vandebril, and D.S. Watkins, *Fast and backward stable computation of roots of polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. A. **36** (2015), no. 3, 942–973.
6. J. Ben-Artzi, A.C. Hansen, O. Nevanlinna, and M. Seidel, *Can everything be computed? on the solvability complexity index and towers of algorithms*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.03280 (2015).
7. J Ben-Artzi, A.C. Hansen, O. Nevanlinna, and M. Seidel, *New barriers in complexity theory: on the solvability complexity index and the towers of algorithms*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **353** (2015), no. 10, 931 – 936.
8. L. Benet and D.P. Sanders, *ValidatedNumerics.jl Julia package*, `github.com/dpsanders/ValidatedNumerics.jl`.
9. A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Analysis of Toeplitz Pperators*, Springer, 2013.
10. D. Damanik, R. Killip, and B. Simon, *Perturbations of orthogonal polynomials with periodic recursion coefficients*, Ann. Math. (2010), 1931–2010.
11. D. Damanik, A. Pushnitski, and B. Simon, *The analytic theory of matrix orthogonal polynomials*, Surveys in Approximation Theory **4** (2008), 1–85.
12. D. Damanik and B. Simon, *Jost functions and Jost solutions for Jacobi matrices, I. a necessary and sufficient condition for Szegő asymptotics*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), no. 1, 1–50.
13. David Damanik and Barry Simon, *Jost functions and Jost solutions for Jacobi matrices, II. decay and analyticity*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2006** (2006).
14. P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, vol. 3, Am. Math. Soc., 2000.
15. ———, *Some open problems in random matrix theory and the theory of integrable systems*, Contemp. Math. **458** (2008), 419–430.
16. J. Dombrowski and P. Nevai, *Orthogonal polynomials, measures and recurrence relations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), no. 3, 752–759.
17. T.A. Driscoll, N. Hale, and L.N. Trefethen, *Chebfun guide*, Pafnuty Publications, 2014.
18. A. Dubbs and A. Edelman, *Infinite random matrix theory, tridiagonal bordered Toeplitz matrices, and the moment problem*, Linear Algebra Appl. **467** (2015), 188–201.
19. N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, W.G. Bade, and R.G. Bartle, *Linear Operators*, Wiley, 1971.
20. F. Gamboa, J. Nagel, and A. Rouault, *Sum rules via large deviations*, Journal of Functional Analysis **270** (2016), no. 2, 509–559.
21. W. Gautschi, *Orthogonal Polynomials: Computation and Approximation*, Oxf. Univ. Press, 2004.
22. J.S. Geronimo and K.M. Case, *Scattering theory and polynomials orthogonal on the real line*, T. Am. Math. Soc. **258** (1980), no. 2, 467–494.
23. J.S. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal polynomials with asymptotically periodic recurrence coefficients*, J. Approx. Theory **46** (1986), no. 3, 251–283.
24. G.H. Golub and G. Meurant, *Matrices, moments and quadrature with applications*, Princet. Univ. Press, 2009.
25. A.C. Hansen, *On the solvability complexity index, the n-pseudospectrum and approximations of spectra of operators*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **24** (2011), no. 1, 81–124.
26. J. Hubbard, D. Schleicher, and S. Sutherland, *How to find all roots of complex polynomials by Newtons method*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 1, 1–33.
27. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, vol. 132, Springer, 1995.
28. R. Killip and B. Simon, *Sum rules for Jacobi matrices and their applications to spectral theory*, Ann. Math. (2003), 253–321.
29. E. H. Kropf, *ComplexPhasePortrait.jl Julia package*, `github.com/ehkropf/ComplexPhasePortrait.jl`.
30. P. Nevai and W. Van Assche, *Compact perturbations of orthogonal polynomials*, Pac. J. Math. **153** (1992), no. 1, 163–184.
31. S. Olver et al., *ApproxFun.jl Julia package*, `github.com/ApproxFun`.
32. S. Olver and A. Townsend, *A practical framework for infinite-dimensional linear algebra*, Proceedings of the 1st First Workshop for High Performance Technical Computing in Dynamic Languages, IEEE Press, 2014, pp. 57–62.
33. Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Oxf. Univ. Press, 2002.
34. B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, vol. 35, Camb. Univ. Press, 1979.
35. T.-J. Stieltjes, *Recherches sur les fractions continues*, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques, vol. 8, 1894, pp. 1–122.
36. G. Teschl, *Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices*, no. 72, Am. Math. Soc., 2000.
37. W. Tucker, *Validated Numerics: a Short Introduction to Rigorous Computations*, Princeton University Press, 2011.

38. W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal Polynomials: Theory and Practice*, ch. Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials and Three-Term Recurrences, pp. 435–462, Springer Netherlands, 1990.
39. ———, *Orthogonal polynomials, associated polynomials and functions of the second kind*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **37** (1991), no. 1, 237–249.
40. ———, *Chebyshev polynomials as a comparison system for orthogonal polynomials*, Proceedings of the Cornelius Lanczos International Centenary Conference, SIAM, 1994, pp. 365–367.
41. W. Van Assche and J.S. Geronimo, *Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with regularly varying recurrence coefficients*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **19** (1989).
42. E. Wegert, *Visual Complex Functions: an Introduction with Phase Portraits*, Springer, 2012.

Department of Computer Science, KU Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
E-mail address: marcus.webb@cs.kuleuven.be

School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
E-mail address: s.olver@imperial.ac.uk



FIGURE 4. The left hand, centre and right hand figures show the spectral measures $\mu(s)$, principal resolvents $G(\lambda)$ and disc resolvents $G(\lambda(z))$ (analyticially continued outside the disc) respectively for J_α, the Basic perturbation 1 example, with $\alpha = 0, 0.15, 0.35, 0.5, 0.75, 1$. We see that a Dirac mass in the measure corresponds to a pole of the disc resolvent *inside* the unit disc, which corresponds to a pole in the principal resolvent outside the interval $[-1, 1]$.



FIGURE 5. The left hand, centre and right hand figures show the spectral measures $\mu(s)$, principal resolvents $G(\lambda)$ and disc resolvents $G(\lambda(z))$ (analyticially continued outside the disc) respectively for J_β, the Basic perturbation 2 example, with $\beta = 0.5, 0.707, 0.85, 1, 1.2, 1.5$. Again, we see that a Dirac mass in the measure corresponds to a pole of the disc resolvent *inside* the unit disc, which corresponds to a pole in the principal resolvent outside the interval $[-1, 1]$.


Legendre approximation, n = 1
Legendre approximation, n = 2
Legendre approximation, n = 3
Legendre approximation, n = 10
Legendre approximation, n = 30
Legendre approximation, n = 100

FIGURE 6. These plots are of approximations to the spectral measure and principal resolvents of the Legendre polynomials, which has a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator. The Jacobi operator can be found in Subsection 5.1. As the parameter n of the approximation increases, a barrier around the unit circle forms. Also notice that a Gibbs phenomenon forms at the end points, showing that there are limitations to how good these approximations can be to the final measure.


Ultraspherical(0.6), n = 1
Ultraspherical(0.6), n = 2
Ultraspherical(0.6), n = 3
Ultraspherical(0.6), n = 10
Ultraspherical(0.6), n = 30
Ultraspherical(0.6), n = 100

FIGURE 7. These plots are of approximations to the spectral measure and principal resolvents of the Ultraspherical polynomials with parameter $\gamma = 0.6$, which has a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator. The Jacobi operator can be found in Subsection 5.1. As the parameter n of the approximation increases, a barrier around the unit circle forms.



FIGURE 8. These plots are of approximations to the spectral measure and principal resolvents of the Jacobi polynomials with parameter $\alpha, \beta = 0.4, 1.9$, which has a Toeplitz-plus-trace-class Jacobi operator. The Jacobi operator can be found in Subsection 5.1. As the parameter n of the approximation increases, a barrier around the unit circle forms.



FIGURE 9. These plots are of approximations to the spectral measure and principal resolvents of a trace-class pseudo-random diagonal perturbation of the free Jacobi operator.